

-----Original Message-----

From: Anita Gardner

Sent: 02 March 2018 09:21

To: Planning.Responses

Subject: FW: Letter posted 27.2.18 by Mr R Smith.

Please treat as a rep

Anita Gardner | Principal Planner, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37529 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737529 | E-mail: anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk P Save the environment - think before you print.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 01 March 2018 15:18

To: Anita Gardner

Subject: Letter posted 27.2.18 by Mr R Smith.

Dear Mrs Gardner ,

The plan in question is extremely controversial as it is not part of the Arun Council A/2/57 archive file. If it is not in the file it cannot be considered.

You have received three separate versions of this plan from the applicant.

The applicant first claimed there were three plots 7/8/9 on the open green space.

Then the applicant claimed with the support of his Architects drawing, a Pathway with two plots 8/9.

Finally in this last plan the applicant would have you believe there are just two plots 8/9.

Please find a copy of Land Registry plan for Ashurst which is registered as plot 6. Sent as Photo.

It begs the question " where has plot 7 gone?" More confusion.

It's true Mr Smith does own Hesketh Estates now but he did not own it in 1957.

How can Mr Smith be sure of the validity of his plan some 60 years after the event.

The plan was posted on the 13.2.2018 as a Land Registry document, if Mr Smith will furnish you and myself with a registered number, the plan can be validated.

A/65/11/CLE is claimed to be similar to A/212/17/CLP. The former was a development within the existing boundary of Fallowdale.

It is not infill and is not part of the golf course.

Most importantly It does not conflict with your protected green space policy.
In this case there was satisfactory evidence for the granting of a certificate.

There is certainly contradictory evidence for A/212/17CLP as there is insufficient information in the file to support the assumption that the open space in question was ever part of the original outline planning consent.

The letter from Angermering Parish Council supports this view and reads "It cannot be ascertained with sufficient certainty whether the site on which the works are proposed is the same as that to which the outline consent relates"

Finally Mr Smith points out that you are aware that they will be using an approval as evidence to support their forthcoming appeal on A/176/17/PL.

Again the Angermering Parish Council letter correctly confirmed;

" there is a concern that this application has been made in an attempt to circumvent the refusal of permission in the previous application A/176/17/PL and is therefore an abuse of process.

Arun were well aware of all the circumstances of the original application A/176/17PL including the 57 outline consent and still refused the development.

I trust that since there is considerable lack of evidence to support a Certificate of Lawful Development, it will be refused.

Yours Sincerely Terry Linkhorn.

Sent from my iPhone