

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry Linkhorn [REDACTED]

Sent: 21 February 2018 13:58

To: Anita Gardner

Subject: A/212/17/CLP

Dear Mrs Gardner,

I am very concerned about the legitimacy of a recent plan posted on the 13.2.2018 as Land Registry Plan. (it is not headed and numbered as it should be)

All the plots are neatly drawn and numbered which are suddenly legible. This is very surprising given the following:

On the 12.12.2017 the same plan ref 5 was posted and the numbers are definitely not legible. The plan does however seem to show a path way to the northern boundary of Ashurst.

On the 12.12.2017 the applicant posted a site location plan drawing no 1131.C01 23.11.2017 for client Mr Smith drawn by Inspired Design Studio Ltd.

This plan clearly shows the pathway in question. It concludes that The advisers of the above client were clearly confused by old imprecise information.

Why do we then have this new miraculous plan minus the pathway but now amazingly with legible numbers.

I went to the council offices for the third time yesterday to view the A/2/57 file and this plan in any format is NOT in the file. The assurance has been given by your front desk staff that no further files exist for A/2/57.

These changes with plans, clearly demonstrates that the applicant feels they are so important to their case, that they are desperately trying to convince you of their validity.

It also demonstrates that after 60 years , it is extremely difficult, to prove conclusively with old and limited supporting paperwork, that this old consent is valid.

In any event it certainly cannot be guaranteed, whether the site on which the dwellings are proposed, is the same that the 60 year old consent relates.

I would ask that you refuse the Certificate based on confusing information supplied by the applicant and the lack of available supporting information based on the age of the consent.

Yours sincerely Terry Linkhorn.

Sent from my iPhone