

The quality and accuracy of any print will depend on your printer, your computer and its print settings. Measurements scaled from this plan may not match measurements between the same points on the ground.

1. UK Power Networks does not warrant that the information provided to you is correct. You rely upon it at your own risk.

2. UK Power Networks does not exclude or limit its liability if it causes the death of any persons or causes personal injury to a person.

3. Subject to paragraph 2 UK Power Networks has no liability to you in contract, in tort (including negligence), for breach of statutory duty or otherwise for any loss, damage, cost, claims, demands, or expenses that you or any third party may suffer or incur as a result of using the information provided whether for physical damage to property or for any economic loss (including without limitation loss of profit, loss of opportunity, loss of savings, loss of goodwill, loss of business, loss of use) or any special or consequential loss or damage whatsoever.

4. This plan has been provided to you on the basis of the terms of use set out in the covering letter that accompanies this plan. If you do not accept and/or do not understand the terms of use set out in the covering letter you must not use the plan and must return it to the sender of the letter.

5. You are responsible for the security of the information provided to you. It must not be given, sold or made available upon payment of a fee to a third party.



ALWAYS LOOK UP
BEFORE
YOU START WORK
Refer to HSE
Guidance note GS6

Maps produced at 1:2500 scale are Geo-Schematics which show 115 kV mains cables and overhead lines (in some cases all voltages). Prior to carrying out excavations you must refer to the 1:500 records to determine the location of all known underground plant and equipment.



02550metres

Dig Sites Area: ~ ~ ~ ~ Line: ~ ~ ~ ~

This plan must be used with the attached 'Symbols' document.

Date Requested: 21/10/2020
Job Reference: 20265589
Site Location: 508843 104088
Requested by:
Miss Monique Elson
Your Scheme/Reference: 305145
Scale: 1:500 (When plotted at A3)

1. The position of the apparatus shown on this drawing is believed to be correct but the original landmarks may have been altered since the apparatus was installed.

2. The exact position of the apparatus should be verified - use approved cable avoidance tools prior to excavation using suitable hand tools.

3. It is essential that trial holes are carefully made avoiding the use of mechanical tools or picks until the exact location of all the cables have been determined.

4. It must be assumed that there is a service cable into each property, lamp column and street sign, etc.

5. All cables must be treated as being live unless proved otherwise by UK Power Networks.

6. The information provided must be given to all people working near UK Power Networks plant and equipment. Do not use plans more than 3 months after the issue date for excavation purposes.

7. Please be aware that electric cables/lines belonging to other owners of licensed electricity distribution systems may be present and it is your responsibility to identify their location.

1. UK Power Networks does not warrant that the information provided to you is correct. You rely upon it at your own risk.

2. UK Power Networks does not exclude or limit its liability if it causes the death of any persons or causes personal injury to a person.

3. Subject to paragraph 2 UK Power Networks has no liability to you in contract, in tort (including negligence), for breach of statutory duty or otherwise for any loss, damage, cost, claims, demands, or expenses that you or any third party may suffer or incur as a result of using the information provided whether for physical damage to property or for any economic loss (including without limitation loss of profit, loss of opportunity, loss of savings, loss of goodwill, loss of business, loss of use) or any special or consequential loss or damage whatsoever.

4. This plan has been provided to you on the basis of the terms of use set out in the covering letter that accompanies this plan. If you do not accept and/or do not understand the terms of use set out in the covering letter you must not use the plan and must return it to the sender of the letter.

5. You are responsible for the security of the information provided to you. It must not be given, sold or made available upon payment of a fee to a third party.

UK Power Networks

ALWAYS LOOK UP BEFORE YOU START WORK
Refer to HSE Guidance note GS6

IF IN DOUBT - ASK!
PHONE 0800 056 5866
EMERGENCY - If you damage a cable or line
Phone 0800 783 8838 (24hrs) URGENTLY

Maps produced at 1:2500 scale use Geo Schematics which show 1 V mains cables and overhead lines (in some cases all voltages). Prior to carrying out excavations you must refer to the 1:500 records to determine the location of all known underground plant and equipment.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database rights 2020. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence numbers 100019626, 100019826 and 100019450. Data has been added to the Ordnance Survey base map; all proprietary rights in such additional data are and shall remain the exclusive property of © London Power Networks plc or Eastern Power Networks plc or South Eastern Power Networks plc each being a distribution licensee under section 6(1)(c) of the Electricity Act 1989 for the relevant distribution services area as that term is defined in such licensee's distribution licence. All rights in such data reserved.
Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro™ software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig.

Maps by email Plant Information Reply



IMPORTANT WARNING

Information regarding the location of BT apparatus is given for your assistance and is intended for general guidance only. No guarantee is given of its accuracy. It should not be relied upon in the event of excavations or other works being made near to BT apparatus which may exist at various depths and may deviate from the marked route.



openreach

CLICK BEFORE YOU DIG

FOR PROFESSIONAL FREE ON SITE ASSISTANCE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF EXCAVATION WORKS INCLUDING LOCATE AND MARKING SERVICE

email cbvd@openreach.co.uk

ADVANCE NOTICE REQUIRED
(Office hours, Monday - Friday 08.00 to 17.00)
www.openreach.co.uk/cvd

Accidents happen

If you do damage any Openreach equipment please let us know by calling 0800 023 2023 (opt 1 + opt 1) and we can get it fixed ASAP

KEY TO BT SYMBOLS

	Planned	Live	Change Of State	+	Hatchings	
PCP			Split Coupling		Built	
Pole			Duct Tee		Planned	
Box			Building		Inferred	
Manhole			Kiosk		Duct	
Cabinet			Other proposed plant is shown using dashed lines. BT Symbols not listed above may be disregarded. Existing BT Plant may not be recorded. Information valid at time of preparation. Maps are only valid for 90 days after the date of publication.			
	Pending Add	In Place	Pending Remove	Not in Use		
Power Cable						
Power Duct				N/A		

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map by BT
by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the
Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office
(C) Crown Copyright British Telecommunications plc 100028040

BT Ref : ULH01368V


Map Reference : (centre) TQ0765504724

Easting/Northing : (centre) 507655,104724

Issued : 20/10/2020 13:37:03

WARNING: IF PLANNED WORKS FALL INSIDE HATCHED AREA IT IS ESSENTIAL BEFORE PROCEEDING THAT YOU CONTACT THE NATIONAL NOTICE HANDLING CENTRE. PLEASE SEND E-MAIL TO: nnhc@openreach.co.uk

Maps by email Plant Information Reply



REPORT AND MARKING

Information regarding the location of BT apparatus is given for your assistance and is intended for general guidance only. No guarantee is given of its accuracy. It should not be relied upon in the event of excavations or other works being made near to BT apparatus which may exist at various depths and may deviate from the marked route.



openreach

CLICK BEFORE YOU DIG

FOR PROFESSIONAL FREE ON SITE ASSISTANCE PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF
EXCAVATION WORKS INCLUDING LOCATE AND MARKING SERVICE

email cbyle@openreach.co.uk

ADVANCE NOTICE REQUIRED
(Office hours: Monday - Friday 08:00 to 17:00)

Accidents happen

If you do damage any Opernwech equipment please let us know by calling 0800 023 2023 (opt 1 + opt 1) and we can get it fixed ASAP

KEY TO BT SYMBOLS

KEY TO BT SYMBOLS			Change Of State	+	Hatchings	
	<i>Planned</i>	<i>Live</i>	Split Coupling		Built	
PCP			Duct Tee	*	Planned	
Pole			Building		Inferred	
Box			Kiosk		Duct	
Manhole			Other proposed plant is shown using dashed lines. BT Symbols not listed above may be disregarded. Existing BT Plant may not be recorded. Information valid at time of preparation. Maps are only valid for 95 days after the date of publication.			
Cabinet						

BT Ref : ZRR013090

Map Reference : (centre) TQ0740504524

Easting/Northing : (centre) 507405,104524

Issued : 20/10/2020 13:30:59

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map by BT
by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the
Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office
(C) Crown Copyright British Telecommunications plc 100028040

WARNING: IF PLANNED WORKS FALL INSIDE HATCHED AREA IT IS ESSENTIAL BEFORE PROCEEDING THAT YOU CONTACT THE NATIONAL NOTICE HANDLING CENTRE. PLEASE SEND E-MAIL TO: nnhc@openreach.co.uk

APPENDIX E

TECHNICAL BACKGROUND

H1 Desk Study

Aquifer designation and Source protection zones

Principal aquifer: layers of rock or drift deposit that have high intergranular and/or fracture permeability (usually providing a high level of water storage). They may support water supply and/or river base flow on a strategic scale.

Secondary A aquifer: permeable layers capable of supporting water supplies at a local rather than strategic scale, and in some cases forming an important source of base flow to rivers.

Secondary B aquifer: predominantly lower permeability layers that may store and yield limited amounts of groundwater due to localised features such as fissures, thin permeable horizons and weathering.

Secondary undifferentiated aquifer: it has not been possible to attribute either a category A or B to a rock type. In most cases this means that it was previously designated as both a minor and non-aquifer in different locations owing to the variable characteristics.

Unproductive' strata: low permeability with negligible significance for water supply or river base flow.

The EA generally adopts a three-fold classification of source protection zones (SPZ) surround abstractions for public water supply. The Site is situated in an area defined as follows:

- Zone 1 or the 'inner protection zone' is located immediately adjacent to the groundwater source and is based on a 50-day travel time from any point below the water table to the source. It is designed to protect against the effects of human activity and biological/chemical contaminants that may have an immediate effect on the source
- Zone 2 or the 'outer protection zone' is defined by a 400-day travel time from a point below the water table to the source. The travel time is designed to provide delay and attenuation of slowly degrading pollutants
- Zone 3 or the 'total catchment' is the area around the source within which all groundwater recharge is presumed to be discharged at the source.

Preliminary risk assessment methodology

LCRM outlines the framework to be followed for risk assessment in the UK. The framework is designed to be consistent with UK legislation and policies including planning. An outline conceptual model should be formed at the preliminary risk assessment stage that collates all the existing information pertaining to a site in text, tabular or diagrammatic form. The outline conceptual model identifies potentially complete (termed possible) contaminant linkages (contaminant–pathway–receptor) and is used as the basis for the design of the site investigation. The outline conceptual model is updated as further information becomes available, for example as a result of the site investigation.

Production of a conceptual model requires an assessment of risk to be made. Risk is a combination of the likelihood of an event occurring and the magnitude of its consequences. Therefore, both the likelihood and the consequences of an event must be taken into account when assessing risk. RSK has adopted guidance provided in CIRIA C552 for use in the production of conceptual models.

The likelihood of an event can be classified on a four-point system using the following terms and definitions based on CIRIA C552:

- highly likely: the event appears very likely in the short term and almost inevitable over the long term or there is evidence at the receptor of harm or pollution
- likely: it is probable that an event will occur or circumstances are such that the event is not inevitable, but possible in the short term and likely over the long term
- low likelihood: circumstances are possible under which an event could occur, but it is not certain even in the long term that an event would occur and it is less likely in the short term
- unlikely: circumstances are such that it is improbable the event would occur even in the long term.

The severity can be classified using a similar system also based on CIRIA C552. The terms and definitions relating to severity are:

- severe: short term (acute) risk to human health likely to result in 'significant harm' as defined by the Environment Protection Act 1990, Part IIA. Short-term risk of pollution of sensitive water resources. Catastrophic damage to buildings or property. Short-term risk to an ecosystem or organism forming part of that ecosystem (note definition of ecosystem in 'Draft Circular on Contaminated Land', DETR 2000)
- medium: chronic damage to human health ('significant harm' as defined in 'Draft Circular on Contaminated Land', DETR 2000), pollution of sensitive water resources, significant change in an ecosystem or organism forming part of that ecosystem
- mild: pollution of non-sensitive water resources. Significant damage to crops, buildings, structures and services ('significant harm' as defined in 'Draft Circular on Contaminated Land', DETR 2000). Damage to sensitive buildings, structures or the environment
- minor: harm, not necessarily significant, but that could result in financial loss or expenditure to resolve. Non-permanent human health effects easily prevented by use of personal protective clothing. Easily repairable damage to buildings, structures and services.

Once the probability of an event occurring and its consequences have been classified, a risk category can be assigned according to the table below.

		Consequences			
		Severe	Medium	Mild	Minor
Probability	Highly likely	Very high	High	Moderate	Moderate/low
	Likely	High	Moderate	Moderate/low	Low
	Low likelihood	Moderate	Moderate/low	Low	Very low
	Unlikely	Moderate/low	Low	Very low	Very low

Definitions of these risk categories are as follows together with an assessment of the further work that may be required:

- very high: there is a high probability that severe harm could occur or there is evidence that severe harm is currently happening. This risk, if realised, could result in substantial liability; urgent investigation and remediation are likely to be required
- high: harm is likely to occur. Realisation of the risk is likely to present a substantial liability. Urgent investigation is required. Remedial works may be necessary in the short term and are likely over the long term
- moderate: it is possible that harm could arise, but it is unlikely that the harm would be severe and it is more likely that the harm would be relatively mild. Investigation is normally required to clarify the risk and determine the liability. Some remedial works may be required in the longer term
- low: it is possible that harm could occur, but it is likely that if realised this harm would at worst normally be mild
- very low: there is a low possibility that harm could occur and if realised the harm is unlikely to be severe.

H2 Site Investigation Methodology

Ground gas monitoring

An infrared gas meter was used to measure gas flow, concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and oxygen (O₂) in percentage by volume, while hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and carbon monoxide (CO) were recorded in parts per million. Initial and steady state concentrations were recorded. In addition, during the first monitoring round, all wells were screened with a PID to establish if there are any interferences and cross-sensitivity of other hydrocarbons with the infrared gas meter.

Low flow groundwater sampling

Groundwater samples were retrieved using a United States Environment Protection Agency (USEPA) approved low-flow purging and sampling methodology.

The low-flow method relies on moving groundwater through the well screen at approximately the same rate as it flows through the geological formation. This results in a significant reduction in the volume of water extracted before sampling and significantly reduces the amount of disturbance of the water in the monitoring well during purging and sampling. Drawdown levels in the monitoring well and water quality indicator parameters (pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, redox potential and dissolved oxygen) are monitored during low-flow purging and sampling, with stabilisation indicating that purging is complete and sampling can begin. As the flow rate used for purging, in most cases, is the same or only slightly higher than the flow rate used for sampling, and because purging and sampling are conducted as one continuous operation in the field, the process is referred to as low-flow purging and sampling.

H3 Site Investigation Assessment Methodology

Statistical assessment

Statistical analysis of the results has been conducted in accordance with *Guidance on Comparing Soil Contamination Data with a Critical Concentration* (CIEH and CL:AIRE, 2008) as detailed in Appendix D.

Statistical analysis is utilised to establish whether the land is suitable for the proposed use under the land use planning system by attempting to answer a key question. For a site being developed the key question is: *'can we confidently say that the level of contamination on this land is low relative to some appropriate measure of risk?'* More specifically, this is expressed as *'Is there sufficient evidence that the true mean concentration of the contaminant (μ) is less than the critical concentration (C_c)?'*, where the critical concentration could be the GAC or a site-specific assessment criterion (SSAC). The true mean (μ) is unknown and therefore a conservative estimate, termed the upper confidence limit (UCL), of this value is derived from the data. The UCL is then compared against the GAC.

In statistical terms the question above is handled through the use of a formal hypothesis – the null hypothesis and the alternate hypothesis. The statistical tests are structured to show (with a defined level of confidence, in this case 95%) which of the two hypotheses is most likely to be true, by determining whether the null hypothesis can be rejected.

For consideration under the planning regime, the null (H_0) and alternative (H_1) hypotheses are presented below.

Null and alternative hypotheses

Hypothesis	Equation	Description
Null (H_0)	$\mu \geq C_c$	The true mean concentration is equal to, or greater than, the critical concentration
Alternative (H_1)	$\mu < C_c$	The true mean concentration is less than the critical concentration

Therefore, if the null hypothesis is accepted for a certain contaminant it can be concluded that its concentration is high relative to the critical concentration, which in the case of this assessment is taken to be the GAC/SSAC and as such the whole site may be classed as being contaminated by a particular substance.

In addition, the statistical guidance provides an outlier test (Grubbs' test) that has been used within this assessment for the identification of 'outliers' or 'hotspots'. The 'outlier' test is conducted before undertaking statistical analysis (and 'outliers' may be removed from the dataset) but **only** where the conceptual model supports this.

The statistical tests applied to the dataset are selected based on whether the data is normally or non-normally distributed. The distribution of the dataset has been assessed using the Shapiro-Wilks normality test. Where the dataset has been found to be normally distributed the one sample t-test is undertaken. Where data has been found to be non-normally distributed Chebyshev's theorem is utilised.

Reuse of suitable materials

The Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice (CL:AIRE, 2011) (CoP) was developed in consultation with the Environment Agency and development industry to enable the re-use of materials under certain scenarios and subject to demonstrating that specific criteria are met. The current reuse scenarios covered by the CoP comprise

- reuse on the site of origin (with or without treatment)
- direct transfer of clean and natural soils between sites
- use in the development of land other than the site of origin following treatment at an authorised Hub site (including a fixed soil treatment facility).

The importation of made ground soils (irrespective of contamination status) or crushed demolition materials is not permitted currently under the CoP and requires either a standard rules environmental permit or a U1 waste exemption (see below).

In the context of excavated materials used on-sites undergoing development, four factors are considered to be of particular relevance in determining if the material is a waste or when it ceases to be waste:

- the aim of the Waste Framework Directive is not undermined, i.e. if the use of the material will create an unacceptable risk of pollution of the environment or harm to human health it is likely to be waste
- the material is certain to be used
- the material is suitable for use both chemically and geotechnically
- only the required quantity of material will be used.

The CoP requires the preparation of a materials management plan (MMP) that confirms the above factors will be met. This plan needs to be reviewed by a 'Qualified Person' (QP) who will then issue a declaration form to the EA. As the project progresses, data must be collated and on completion a verification report produced that shows the MMP was followed and describes any changes.

The MMP establishes whether specific materials are classified as waste and how excavated materials will be treated and/or reused in line with the CoP. The MMP is likely to form part of the site waste management plan.

APPENDIX F

SITE RECONNAISSANCE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG		
Photo no. 1	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Overview of the site		

Photo No. 2	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Overview of the site looking towards the north		



Photo No. 3	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Drilling WS2		

Photo No. 4	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Window sample liners from WS2		

Photo No. 5	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Window sample liner from WS4		

Photo No. 6	Date: 02/11/20	
Description: Window sample liner from WS6		