

From: Daun Johnson <Daun.Johnson@arun.gov.uk>

Sent: 07 December 2020 07:53

To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: A/179/20/RES Land South of Water Lane, Angmering, Approval of reserved matters etc.

Daun Johnson

Technical Support Unit Assistant, Planning

T: 01903 737762

E: daun.johnson@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF

www.arun.gov.uk



Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Geoff Gibbs [REDACTED]

Sent: 06 December 2020 15:54

To: Michael Eastham <Michael.Eastham@arun.gov.uk>; Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: A/179/20/RES Land South of Water Lane, Angmering, Approval of reserved matters etc.

I wish to make a further representation on A/179/20/RES

If I am correct in my understanding, the intention is to substitute an earth embankment carrying a highway for the clear span bridge. Since the embankment will provide the opportunity to create a flood attenuation storage area on the Black Ditch upstream of the embankment I support this substitution.

However, while providing an opportunity for a future flood alleviation scheme, there is no guarantee that a scheme will be provided.

If a wider flood alleviation scheme was definitely to be provided as part of the South of Water Lane development I would be happy for the merits of the scheme as a whole to be considered as part of a wider Flood Risk Assessment.

Because of the lack of a guarantee that a wider flood alleviation scheme will be delivered I believe a flood risk assessment must be undertaken for the impact of the embankment proposal alone. This flood risk assessment need not be unduly complicated. The embankment will displace flood storage, which should be quantified. That volume should then be compensated for. It seems to me this can be achieved on a level for level and volume for volume basis by reshaping the basin upstream of the embankment.

It is confusing that this development proposal as a whole appears over a number of Application numbers.

I now refer to A/110/20/RES, which covered, among other matters, the bridge to access the site. This contained a technical note by Stantec dated 7th April 2020, which seemed to demonstrate minimal loss of flood plain storage from the bridge option and made proposals for compensation. It quotes a numerical hydraulic model in support. A similar technical note would, I believe, satisfy the flood risk assessment for the stand-alone embankment.

On your website for A/179/20/RES dated 4th December 2020, the e-mail trail includes a copy of an e-mail from Mr Drabble of WSCC to Mr Oldfield of Angmering Parish Council. It refers to three evidence documents.

#1 I believe this is Mr Drabble's FRA document.

#2 I believe this is the WSP report.

#3 Does this FRA statement exist? Is it a statement rather like that produced by Stantec for the bridge option?

Regard

Geoff Gibbs