




---

**From:** RICHARD STUBBS [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 26 October 2017 12:07  
**To:** Anita Gardner  
**Subject:** 9th Tee Ham Manor Golf Club Yr Ref A/176/17/PL

Dear Anita

I write to you in respect of the above and further to the objection letters I have sent.

I am instructed that letters of support have been written that may give a misguided opinion in respect of other lands on the Ham Manor Estate.

Reference has been made to "new development" supported by infill development but on instructions that is not the case. Reference is made to the site of "Four Elms" land, to the north, but these have been built as at least 2 new dwellings that appear to be reliant on the original consent and/or as a replacement of the original dwelling. These are not new dwellings built on the golf club land as infill. In any event the situation has now changed with the designation of this land as a designated green space which totally challenges the concept of infill development being supported or allowed on this site where there is no historic outline consent.

Any other new dwellings to our knowledge have been built on the basis of the original outline consents.

My apologies to raise this now and not in the group objection letter.

Richard Stubbs