

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 18 November 2020 09:04
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: A/109/20/RES (Western Area/adjoining Weavers Hill)

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Michael Eastham <Michael.Eastham@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 November 2020 12:14
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: A/109/20/RES (Western Area/adjoining Weavers Hill)

Please upload the email below which is a further letter of objection to reserved matters application **A/109/20/RES** relating to Land South of Water Lane, Angmering.

Thanks,

Michael

Michael Eastham
Principal Planning Officer,
Planning Department

T: 01903 737772
E: michael.eastham@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk



Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 November 2020 12:04
To: Michael Eastham <Michael.Eastham@arun.gov.uk>

Cc: Katie Herr [REDACTED] David Marsh [REDACTED]
Subject: A/109/20/RES (Western Area/adjoining Weavers Hill)

Mr. Eastham....

Re. A/109/20/RES (Western Area/adjoining Weavers Hill)

Due to constantly changing data on the above application on the Arun Planning website, please consider this an addition to my original objection, submitted on 3rd September.

Firstly, I refer to the update to his original objection, by the owner of Avenals Barn (who is happy for me to quote), filed via your website on 12th November. I fully support the general views expressed, specifically one area in particular. He highlights that ".....the plan allows for a tall acoustic fence on the southern flank but no fencing on the north side along the border with Avenals Farm buildings.....". This appears to mirror the treatment of the border with The Avenals that I and neighbours have strongly objected to (amongst other things) in our submissions to you. Use of our delineating hedgerows and landscaping is not available as an "open" boundary to the developer.

Secondly, the subject of density and quality of build in this very small, sensitively sited field, continues to astound all. This topic and the above boundary issue has been copiously covered in everyone's objections, so why again now? Quite simply, excluding the resident who sold the land for the link road (and so is presumably not concerned) there are just five properties actually adjoining the site boundary (three in The Avenals). Whilst the developer seems to submit major substitute documents almost daily, to assuage Arun Council's concerns on matters various, lone households see little to address theirs. Is anyone from Arun Planning likely to come to The Avenals and visualise the impact of such dense housing, tightly packed along the boundary, impacting not only the road, but the surrounding area? Plans indicate 12 houses (potentially 24 cars/48 people) just on this very restricted southern border area alone (south of site road). Whilst there appears to be a suggestion in the website documents of perhaps one less unit to placate Conservation Area issues, this hardly starts to address the subject of density. For anyone in any doubt about the impact on the boundary in question, I refer to two related documents:

- *Landscape and Visual Impact Statement* (submitted by the developer). As one would expect, this puts the highest gloss possible on the proposals. But even this document has to admit (page 66: Summary of Visual Effects) Residents of The Avenals - at Construction, at Completion Year 1 and up to Completion Year 15: "Magnitude of Change: *High Negative*". "Scale of Effect & Importance: *Major Adverse*".
- *Angmering Village - Build Density Survey V 1.0* (submitted by Angmering Parish Council in support of their objection). The developer claims an average of "below 35dph" (housing density per hectare). This is presumably above 34dph, or they would be quoting it "below" this figure, or lower. Appendix page 6, at the end of this document, states that The Avenals is 7.5dph! Yet page 69/para 8.6.2 of the previous developer's document claims, "In conclusion, the proposed development is a logical extension to the existing settlement of Angmering....." Logical?

Tony Norris
Walnuts
The Avenals
Angmering
BN16 4AN