

[REDACTED]

From: Nicola Moore on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 22 October 2019 10:28
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: A/104/19/RES
Attachments: A.104.19.RES.doc

[REDACTED]

Nicky Moore | Technical Support Assistant, Planning Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: First floor, Arun Civic Centre,
Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

[REDACTED]

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk  Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Mark Warwick [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 October 2019 17:07
To: Andrew Wood [REDACTED]
Cc: Planning.Responses [REDACTED]
Subject: A/104/19/RES

Andrew

Please find attached my report for your consideration.

Regards,

Mark

[Mark Warwick](#) | Tree Officer, Greenspace, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Bognor Regis Town Hall, Clarence Road, Bognor Regis, West
Sussex, PO21 1LD

[REDACTED]

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk  Save the environment - think before you print.

Planning Officer: Mr A Wood

Planning Application Ref: A/104/19/RES

Location: Crete Nursery, Dappers Lane, Angmering, BN16 4EN

Description of works: Approval of reserved matters following A/178/17/OUT for the demolition of existing outbuildings with retention of existing dwelling & erection of 6no. dwellings

Comments on Application:

- The original Tree Survey / Tree Schedule provided on behalf of the applicant is now more than 2 years old, generally regarded as a threshold beyond which any condition ratings and management recommendations may no longer be valid. That said, it remains possible to consider the general implications for existing tree stock. The Tree Survey data is comprehensive and accords with the requirements of BS5837:2012. I am in agreement with the retention category ratings for on and off-site trees.
- The applicants have employed Epoch Ecology to prepare an Arboricultural Impact Assessment & Method Statement along with a Tree Protection Plan for this project. This was submitted in support of the outline application.
- I am mindful of previous comments and recommendations made by our appointed arboricultural consultant, in his report dated 2nd November 2017 (A/178/17/OUT) that remain relevant at this later time and which I endorse.
- I am pleased to note that a Tree Preservation Order (TPO/A/3/18) applies to the line of hornbeam (previously wrongly identified as beech) trees at the northern boundary of the site and the dominant category A oak tree (T07).

Conclusions:

- There are outstanding issues, previously identified at outline application stage, which must be addressed to our satisfaction. Please refer to paragraphs 3 and 4 from our initial response:

Para.3 - The AMS does not cover in enough detail what is happening with the boundary fencing and garden division fencing within the RPA of the screen of hornbeam trees to the north and off-site – mitigation measures and methodology required here.

Para.4 – The AMS does not detail exactly what is happening and the mitigation measures and methodology required here for any revisions to the access drive (widening or resurfacing) that appear to be taking place.

- As it stands and until such time as the arboricultural reports and plans are revised, I must register an **OBJECTION**.

Recommendations:

- The applicant will need to instruct their arboriculturist to submit a revised set of reports and plans, which address in detail our previously stated concerns.

Mark Warwick

Tree Officer / Parks and Greenspace Team