

Zac Denton

From: Jake Davies [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 June 2017 18:56
To: Zac Denton
Subject: Re: AW/88/17/T

If you could please proceed with the above recommendations it would be appreciated. However I should note that I did request a tree officer meet me on site for a site appraisal several months ago and never heard back, I do believe that if someone were to take a look at these trees they would see that it would be in the best interest of the species and the owners of the surrounding properties to fell a few of the smaller trees due to poor vigor, multiple compression forks and the trees blocking out large amounts of light. As well as eliminating these problems it would also reduce the sail effect of the tree line and be greatly beneficial for the surrounding neighbours, one of which I am aware has repeatedly rejected these applications and just happens to work for the council which has led to several resubmissions and this process taking far longer than it should. I do believe that these trees would have originally been planted with the intention of forming a hedge row, so given these facts, if it is possible for a site appraisal to take place I would greatly appreciate it.

Sincerely

[REDACTED]
Dear Mr Davies,

I have just received comments from the Council's Arboriculturalist regarding your application ref. AW/88/17/T. They are detailed below:

"

Conclusions:

- I would see the 4m crown lift all round as excessive and undermining screening and separation value.
- I would see establishing a 2.5m clearance from the garage and buildings as excessive and harmful - undermining these trees collective amenity value.

Recommendations:

- I recommend **REFUSAL** of the application as it stands as the works are potentially harmful and not justified and it would not be in the best interests of the group as a whole.
- I would advise that they re-submit seeking the following works :-

1. Reduce height of trees overall by a maximum of 2m.

2. Crown lift on the north side ONLY to achieve a ground clearance of 4m.

3. Any lateral branches that remain on the north side are to be shortened back to achieve a 1m clearance from the garage and house.

“

As you can see, the application is set to be refused, however, if you would like I could change the proposed works to that of the Arboriculturalists recommendation. This would save getting a refusal and then having to re-apply.

Please reply within a week so I can change this for you.

Yours sincerely,

Zac Denton



