

Sent: 17 May 2018 09:31
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Deletion of computer manipulated or completely fabricated letter posted on the AW/71/18/HH's website 14-5-2018 and head 'Rep.Letter'.

Importance: High

From: Neil Crowther
Sent: 16 May 2018 15:08
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Deletion of computer manipulated or completely fabricated letter posted on the AW/71/18/HH's website 14-5-2018 and head 'Rep.Letter'.
Importance: High

Please upload these under reference A/71/18/HH please.

Thank you

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 May 2018 14:57
To: Simon Davis
Cc: Neil Crowther
Subject: Re: Deletion of computer manipulated or completely fabricated letter posted on the AW/71/18/HH's website 14-5-2018 and head 'Rep.Letter'.
Importance: High

Dear Mr. Davis,

Yes, thank you, this explains much and the most important is to explain why the LPA runs away from taken Court action. With such low level paperwork for evidence, you could never get a case accepted before a Court, let alone heard! And, when one considers that planning is a quasi-judicial process, this is not a happy state of affairs for British Justice, in my opinion. The classic infill builder KNOW ADC will never go to Court so they submit anything they wish! And you accept, from the 'test' you quoted.

But what you also tell me is that you ASSUME, for there is no evidence to suggest it is so, that the piece at the end attributed to the Estate Company was, in fact, written by Whitworth, a friend of the applicant in AW/71/18/HH who is also a Director co-opted to CPEL. Within a short while we will, no doubt, hear from Mrs. Olive-Jones in support of Whitworth – a similar unattributed letter, giving no provenance again but that will be fine, presumably?

For avoidance of doubt, let me make clear that you are stating that you require **evidence** that a submission has been submitted falsely before deciding not to unload it and take it into consideration as a material planning consideration? **That is fascinating for its effect is to stand the burden of proof on its head but means that determine applications based upon any only toffee sent in by any – relative or not – Uncle Tom Copley! So long as it was sent by email, all is deemed to be truthful and correct? 'Signs Gates Fences' emailed you and, no more than I, it is certain that this means nothing to you. I can send you a dozen emails from different 'addresses' within half an hour and you will accept them all as evidence.**

You explained the redacted text in so much detail that you simply confirmed that there has to be some embarrassment in its disclosure – yet we all print 1/2 page of black ink to cover up something innocuous. Since it is so clean and harmless, **I again ask for a copy to be sent to me, please.**

Kind regards,

Richard Ostler, Chairman, CHECAA.

From: Simon Davis
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2018 10:42 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Neil Crowther
Subject: RE: Deletion of computer manipulated or completely fabricated letter posted on the AW/71/18/HH's website 14-5-2018 and head 'Rep.Letter'.

Dear Mr Ostler,

The redacted text that you refer is simply the email from me to our planning admin team asking for the email/letter to be uploaded as a representation and then their email from planning admin onto our scanning team. It is not an example of inappropriate contact.

Unless we have evidence to the contrary that a representation has been submitted falsely then we are duty bound to upload it. We are also not required to only upload letters that are headed and tailed. The letter is dated and signed by means of being submitted by email. As such, the representation will remain on our website.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltrovers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 May 2018 22:12
To: Simon Davis; Neil Crowther
Subject: Deletion of computer manipulated or completely fabricated letter posted on the AW/71/18/HH's website 14-5-2018 and head 'Rep.Letter'.
Importance: High

Dear Mr. Davis,

The subject addition to documentation in this case, 'Rep.Letter, dated 14-5-2018', starts with half a page of redacted material. We suspect that this may well be another example of inappropriate contact with the LPA. Redacting covers it up – it does not indicate that it was never written.

It then says 'Please find the Craigweil Private Estate Limited's response...' and following an email from one 'Ben Whitworth' from 'Signs Gates Fences' (of which we have never heard!) but which is couched in language which tends to indicate previous contact between you and he, and extraordinary 'LETTER'? followed from the next paragraph. It appears to be from 'the Craigweil Private Estate Limited' but is addressed to no one; carried to address of the sender and purports to be an official letter from a Limited Company and could reasonably be expected to come from the Secretary at the Registered Office. There is no salutation and there is no ending or date.

We fail to understand how anyone at ADC could possible have received and posted this as a legitimate letter.

So how can you ever accept that "amateur 'letter' as being genuine? It is written by ANYONE from ANYWHERE and sent from a machine maybe connected with something to do with 'Sign Gate Fences'. And YET, you appear to have processed this as being a totally genuine letter from a Limited Company. You have had contact with the Company and KNOW its Registered Office Address.

We will be grateful if you will kindly forward a copy of the un-redacted document (except the legal redaction – not the ones you wish to hide – **AND IF YOU WILL IMMEDIATELY TAKE DOWN THIS MESSAGE FROM THE WEBSITE.** And, having mentioned that, we can see no reason why the LPA should then go into its teaching mode tell /"Signs Gates Fence' how write a letter if they wish to – unless, of course, CHECAA is to be equally invited to you lectures!

Kind regards,

Richard Ostler, Chairman, CHECAA 15/5/2018

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

NLPG UPRN 100062237016

DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.
