

[REDACTED]

From: Hannah Riddle on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 26 April 2022 16:48
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Objection to planning application AW/66/22/HH

[REDACTED]

Hannah Riddle
Planning Apprentice
Planning Services



[REDACTED]

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Amber Willard [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 April 2022 15:57
To: Planning.Responses [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Objection to planning application AW/66/22/HH

[REDACTED]

Thanks
Amber Willard
Planning Officer



[REDACTED]

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area, please go to
<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

From: John Meaby [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 April 2022 15:55
To: Amber Willard [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to planning application AW/66/22/HH

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Ms Amber Willard

Case Officer, ADC Planning Department, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

26th April 2022

Dear Ms Willard

Regarding planning application AW/66/22/HH

I hereby wish to officially object to this application as follows.

In 1996, my family were attracted to Craigweil due to the quietness and tranquillity of this verdant estate. The pleasant and unassuming nature of the residents made it (and still does) a real privilege to live here. We were delighted when Craigweil was deemed to be worth conserving.

That preamble leads to my objections to the above plan.

1. By virtue of its height and area we believe it to be intrusive both to immediate/adjacent properties but also in an holistic sense by infringing on our residents' comfort and privacy.
2. The 'change of use' from residential to commercial surely deviates from the ethos of Conservation. My own home office, a box room, measures 12 ft. by 7 ft.
3. We concur with other objectors in terms of increased inconvenience by way of : -

- Construction and maintenance

- Light Pollution

- Noise and Disturbance

- Jeopardised resale values of adjacent properties

- Human and Vehicular traffic

- Verge incursions

- Out-falling drainage

Please accept our objections and reject plan AW/66/22/HH and our apologies for the delay in submitting this objection.

Yours sincerely

John And Sue Meaby.