

Janice Chapman

From: Bernie Harverson <bernie.harverson@virgin.net>
Sent: 21 April 2014 10:37
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: Joe Russell-Wells; Richard Martin
Subject: TPO & CA Applications
Attachments: FP.155.13.T.pdf; FP.46.14.T.pdf; FP.31.14.T.pdf; BE.22.14.TC.pdf; AW.79.14.TC.pdf; AW.70.14.TC.pdf; AW.64.14.TC.pdf; AW.47.14.T (Complaint).pdf; Arun.bjh.CAEnq.JRW.18.04.14.pdf

You seem to have a lot on your plate at present Janice- Enjoy!!

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
Arboricultural Consultant
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL





Janice Chapman

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 April 2014 11:20
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: RE: AW/47/14/T - Fell 1 no. Macrocarpa tree at 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Hi Janice,
Yes I did and will be sending through my report tomorrow ready for you to action next Tuesday.

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL
01730 825297
07875 520881

From: Janice Chapman [<mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 16 April 2014 12:26
To: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
Subject: AW/47/14/T - Fell 1 no. Macrocarpa tree at 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Dear Bernie,

Further to your e-mail of 9th April 2014. Did you have time to take a second look at this tree on the 10th April 2014 as the application is still pending.

Regards

Janice

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton



Janice Chapman

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
To: 02 April 2014 11:57
Subject: Janice Chapman; Joe Russell-Wells
RE: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

Duly noted.

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Chapman [<mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 02 April 2014 09:21
To: Joe Russell-Wells
Cc: Bernie Harverson ([REDACTED])
Subject: FW: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

FYI

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Coney On Behalf Of Planning
Sent: 02 April 2014 08:53
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Alastair Higson ([REDACTED])
Sent: 01 April 2014 17:52
To: Planning
Subject: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

For the attention of Mrs J Chapman,

Dear Mrs Chapman,

Further to my wife's phone call to you, I am writing to complain about the letter posted by Richard Ostler on the representations area of your website, which contains defamatory and slanderous allegations about us and about the tree surgeons who have worked on the subject tree during our occupation of this property. If these remarks are not removed I shall have to consider Legal Action.

For the record, all tree work has been undertaken by contractors recommended by Arun Council, after obtaining the necessary permissions, and has only been done to clean up the results of storm damage. It appears that Mr Ostler is not aware that as recently as 3rd January this year the Public Rights of Way Officer, Martyn Burkinshaw, requested that some damaged branches overhanging the footpath be removed for safety reasons.

Mr Ostler knows nothing about us or our circumstance, and his personal remarks are completely inappropriate in connection with a Planning issue, and should be removed.

In common with many of the correspondents, we also appreciate the value of trees within the parish but, as owners, we are becoming increasingly concerned about the frequency and scale of the storm damage to the subject tree and the increasing likelihood of injury to footpath users.

Yours sincerely
Alastair Higson

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG
UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton

Janice Chapman

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 April 2014 17:57
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: Joe Russell-Wells; Richard Martin
Subject: RE: Application AW/47/14/T

Hi Janice,

I have this on my list to do tomorrow so will get back to you after I have revisited.

Initial thoughts are that if the branch is split and dangerous then he should be actioning its removal asap and get his tree surgeon to take a photo of the damage for our records - we can take his application as the five day notification of same that is required under the law. As to whether or not this then undermines the visual amenity value of the tree sufficiently to merit its complete removal is something which I will have to decide on tomorrow!!

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Chapman [mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk]
Sent: 09 April 2014 11:24
To: 'Bernie Harverson'
Subject: RE: Application AW/47/14/T

Dear Bernie,

I have to make a decision on this tree by the 11th April, are you of the same opinion regarding refusal for the felling.

Kind Regards

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2014 08:11
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: RE: Application AW/47/14/T

OK Janice I will put this on the list as soon as I can but its hectic at present so he may have to wait a while!

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting

West Sussex
GU31 5PL
01730 825297
07875 520881

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Chapman [mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2014 15:17
To: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

FYI

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice James
Sent: 27 March 2014 10:22
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Coney On Behalf Of Planning
Sent: 27 March 2014 09:49
To: Janice James
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Alastair Higson [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 March 2014 12:23
To: Planning
Subject: Application AW/47/14/T

For the attention of Mr K Roberts and Mrs J Chapman,

With reference to the application to fell the subject tree, I see from the representations made that your Consultant Arboriculturist logged a report in which, after apparently viewing the tree, he states that 'it now contains no actionable defects'.

Perhaps you should check with him whether a large split in one of the upper branches on the north east side, which overhangs the footpath, is an 'actionable defect', and whether he noticed this damage during his visit.

I would respectfully refer you again to the comments from Mr William Hackman in the original application, which stated that although broken branches were removed on 16th January this year, there is already evidence of further branch failure.

As you may be aware, a large proportion of this tree overhangs a busy public footpath, and given its age of about 100 years the likelihood of injury to persons or damage to property is increasing over time. Of the four 'sister' trees to this one within a 60 yard range, two have suffered major breakages and had to be felled within the past 6 years.

I fully appreciate the comments from local people about the beauty of trees in this area, and have tried for the past nine years to care for this tree in its old age. There comes a time, however, when safety considerations outweigh aesthetics.


Yours sincerely
Alastair Higson

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton



Janice Chapman

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
To: 02 April 2014 11:57
Subject: Janice Chapman; Joe Russell-Wells
RE: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

Duly noted.

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Chapman [<mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 02 April 2014 09:21
To: Joe Russell-Wells
Cc: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

FYI

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Coney On Behalf Of Planning
Sent: 02 April 2014 08:53
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Alastair Higson [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2014 17:52
To: Planning
Subject: Tree Application AW/47/14/T

For the attention of Mrs J Chapman,

Dear Mrs Chapman,

Further to my wife's phone call to you, I am writing to complain about the letter posted by Richard Ostler on the representations area of your website, which contains defamatory and slanderous allegations about us and about the tree surgeons who have worked on the subject tree during our occupation of this property. If these remarks are not removed I shall have to consider Legal Action.

For the record, all tree work has been undertaken by contractors recommended by Arun Council, after obtaining the necessary permissions, and has only been done to clean up the results of storm damage. It appears that Mr Ostler is not aware that as recently as 3rd January this year the Public Rights of Way Officer, Martyn Burkinshaw, requested that some damaged branches overhanging the footpath be removed for safety reasons.

Mr Ostler knows nothing about us or our circumstance, and his personal remarks are completely inappropriate in connection with a Planning issue, and should be removed.

In common with many of the correspondents, we also appreciate the value of trees within the parish but, as owners, we are becoming increasingly concerned about the frequency and scale of the storm damage to the subject tree and the increasing likelihood of injury to footpath users.

Yours sincerely
Alastair Higson

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG
UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton

Janice Chapman

From: Janice Chapman
Sent: 09 April 2014 11:24
To: 'Bernie Harverson'
Subject: RE: Application AW/47/14/T

Dear Bernie,

I have to make a decision on this tree by the 11th April, are you of the same opinion regarding refusal for the felling.

Kind Regards

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Bernie Harverson [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 April 2014 08:11
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: RE: Application AW/47/14/T

OK Janice I will put this on the list as soon as I can but its hectic at present so he may have to wait a while!

Regards,

Bernie Harverson
3 Foxcombe Cottages
South Harting
West Sussex
GU31 5PL
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice Chapman [<mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 01 April 2014 15:17
To: Bernie Harverson ([REDACTED])
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

FYI

Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Janice James
Sent: 27 March 2014 10:22
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Coney On Behalf Of Planning
Sent: 27 March 2014 09:49
To: Janice James
Subject: FW: Application AW/47/14/T

-----Original Message-----

From: Alastair Higson [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 March 2014 12:23
To: Planning
Subject: Application AW/47/14/T

For the attention of Mr K Roberts and Mrs J Chapman,

With reference to the application to fell the subject tree, I see from the representations made that your Consultant Arboriculturist logged a report in which, after apparently viewing the tree, he states that 'it now contains no actionable defects'.

Perhaps you should check with him whether a large split in one of the upper branches on the north east side, which overhangs the footpath, is an 'actionable defect', and whether he noticed this damage during his visit.

I would respectfully refer you again to the comments from Mr William Hackman in the original application, which stated that although broken branches were removed on 16th January this year, there is already evidence of further branch failure.

As you may be aware, a large proportion of this tree overhangs a busy public footpath, and given its age of about 100 years the likelihood of injury to persons or damage to property is increasing over time. Of the four 'sister' trees to this one within a 60 yard range, two have suffered major breakages and had to be felled within the past 6 years.

I fully appreciate the comments from local people about the beauty of trees in this area, and have tried for the past nine years to care for this tree in its old age. There comes a time, however, when safety considerations outweigh aesthetics.

Yours sincerely
Alastair Higson

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton

Janice Chapman

From: Janice Chapman
Sent: 20 March 2014 14:09
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: AW/47/14/T - Fell 1 no. Macrocarpa tree at 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Dear Mr Lawrence,

I refer to your e-mail of 19th March 2014.

A site visit has been carried out and the notice that was incorrectly displayed on your boundary fence has been removed. A new notice has been posted on the fence adjacent to the tree at No 32 and a further notice placed on the public footpath sign by the access road. If you have any comments to make regarding this application the time period has been extended to the 10th April 2014.

Please accept my apologies for any inconvenience caused.

Regards

Janice Chapman
Planner

Janice Chapman

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning
Sent: 19 March 2014 17:28
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: AW/47/14/T

Nicola Spencer | Technical Support Manager, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37446 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37446 | E-mail: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From:
LAWRENC
E
HOLLOWAY

Y 

Sent: 19 March 2014 13:55

To: Planning

Subject: AW/47/14/T

Dear Sirs,

The Statutory Notice regarding this matter, AW/47/14/T, has been incorrectly placed. The Notice has been pinned to my side gate and appears to apply to my house, and to the wrong tree. As a consequence, I have been accosted and generally bothered by local folk who, wrongly, believe that I am applying to fell a perfectly healthy tree.

Please have this Notice removed asap, and correct the matter, ensuring that a new 'start' date is employed.

Thank you.

Lawrence G.Holloway,
30 Fernhurst Gardens,
Aldwick,
Bognor Regis, PO21 4AZ.

Janice Chapman

From: Richard Ostler
Sent: 20 March 2014 17:40
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: Daniel Vick; Joe Russell-Wells; Nikolas Antoniou
Subject: Re: AW/47/14T - Fell 1 Macrocarpa tree at 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Dear Mrs. Chapman

Thank you for this information and action. I assume that, in well established, traditional style, your message carries an implied robust apology to us all for the nuisance value and anxiety caused to so many elderly locals who assumed, so stupidly, that the Macrocarpa tree in front of which the original Notice was placed, was that intended to be felled - at No. 30. These are the people locally who, not having their reading glasses or being familiar with the form of the Notice, made a reasonable connection between the two.

Regards,

Richard Ostler

----- Original Message -----

From: Janice Chapman

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: Daniel Vick ; Joe Russell-Wells ; Nikolas Antoniou

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 2:27 PM

Subject: AW/47/14T - Fell 1 Macrocarpa tree at 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Dear Mr Ostler,

A site visit has been carried out and the statutory notice that was incorrectly displayed on the fence to the rear of No 30 Fernhurst Gardens has been removed. A new notice has been posted on the front fence adjacent to the tree at No 32 Fernhurst Gardens and a further notice has been placed on the public footpath sign by the access road in Fernhurst Gardens. If you have any comments to make regarding this application the time period has been extended to the 10th April 2014.

Thank you for bringing this to my attention.

Regards

Janice Chapman

Planner

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE: This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free

or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments. ***** <http://www.arun.gov.uk> : NLPG
UPRN 100062237016 : DX 57406 Littlehampton

Janice Chapman

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning
Sent: 19 March 2014 09:34
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: AW/47/14/T - 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Nicola Spencer | Technical Support Manager, Planning Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37446 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37446 | E-mail: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk
Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk  Save the environment - think before you print.

From:
Richard
Ostler

Sent: 18 March 2014 22:02
To: Planning
Cc: Daniel Vick; Joe Russell-Wells; Consultant B Harverson
Subject: AW/47/14/T - 32 Fernhurst Gardens, Aldwick

Dear Sir

Fell one Macropcarpa aged 85 over years - incorrect posting of Statutory Notice

I have been asked to look at this tree and the application.

The first thing I noticed on site was that the Statutory Notice is posted on a fence of No. 30 Fernhurst Gardens and adjacent to a large and ancient Macropcarpa tree - NOT the applicant tree in No. 32 - giving the clear indication that the No.30 Macropcarpa is the subject tree for felling.

There are but TWO Macropcarpa in that area and that claimed to be in No. 32 is immediately next to a gate post with '32' in large figures - there is little cause to confuse the two trees, as I see things, but it has been done.

Now that confusion has been introduced by the incorrect placing of the Notice, it is reasonable to assume that the Planning Officer posting the Notice, and now the public, will be under the impression that the No. 30 tree is that for which application to fell is made.

Can you please have a new Statutory Notice placed and the appropriate date indicated from the date it is posted?

Kind regards,

Richard Ostler, 52 The Drive, Aldwick

