

Rec'd 21/2/18

MR & MRS BUNN

67 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT

WEST MEAD

ALDWICK, WEST SUSSEX

PO21 5SJ

STATEMENT OF OBJECTION REFERRING TO PLANNING APPLICATION AW/368/17HH

AT 44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT, WEST MEAD, ALDWICK, WEST SUSSEX PO21 5SJ

20TH FEBRUARY 2018

**STATEMENT OF OBJECTION REFERRING TO PLANNING APPLICATION AW/368/17/HH
AT 44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT, WEST MEAD, ALDWICK PO21 5SJ**

THE STATEMENT THAT ACCOMPANYS THE ABOVE PLANNING APPLICATION IS IN AREAS MADE UP OF COMPLETE FABRICATION.

ORIGINAL BUNGALOWS WERE BUILT DURING THE 1960S AND ARE FAR FROM LOOKING DRAB WHICH IS STATED IN THE OCCUPANTS STATEMENT.

MR AND MRS KILHAMS SENIOR AND JUNIOR ARE RELATIVELY NEW RESIDENTS HAVING BEEN IN RESIDENCE FOR FIVE TO SIX YEARS , MOST EXISTING RESIDENTS HAVE BEEN HERE THIRTEEN YEARS AND OVER.

44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT WAS ORIGINALLY A TWO BEDROOMED SEMI DETACHED BUNGALOW WITH A **VERY SMALL BACK GARDEN** BUT WITH THE FRONT DOOR POSITIONED ON THE SIDE OF THE BUNGALOW WITH LANDSCAPED GARDENS TO THE SIDE AND FRONT OF THE BUNGALOW. WHEN THE KILHAMS FAMILY MOVED IN, THEY BEING BUILDERS THEMSELVES, MR KILHAMS SENIOR AND JUNIOR BUILT A SIDE EXTENSION WHICH TOOK AWAY THE FRONT DOOR ON THE SIDE OF THE PROPERTY, THIS EXTENSION WAS TO BE EXTRA BEDROOMS ONLY FOR THE ORIGINAL SEMIDETACHED BINGALOW.

IT IS QUITE CLEAR THAT HAVING BUILT THE SIDE EXTENSION THE KILHAMS FAMILY WAS TO USE IT AS A SELF CONTAINED SEPARATE DWELLING AND HAS DONE SO. THE LOFT HAS ALSO BEEN CONVERTED, INTO A BATHROOM AND A BEDROOM.

WHEN BUILDING THE SIDE EXTENSION THEY REMOVED TWO ORNAMENTAL CHERRY TREES WHICH WOULD NOT HAVE INTERFERED WITH THE EXTENSION.

ALSO THE ALTERATION OF WHICH WAS THE ORINGINAL SINGLE BACK GARDEN GATE HAS BEEN CHANGED TO TWO WOODEN GARDEN GATES AND NUMBERED 44 AND 44A BUILT BY THE KILHAMS FAMILY. THEIR STATEMENT REFERS TO THE CORNER PLOT BEING "UNIQUE" IT WAS ORIGINALLY BUT UNFORTUNATELY NOW IT HAS BECOME TOTALLY OVER DEVELOPED AND NOT IN KEEPING WITH THE REST OF THE ESTATE.

THEIR STATEMENT ALSO REFERS TO "PARAPHERNALIA" WHICH CAN ONLY BE REFERRED TO AS THEIR BUILDING EQUIPMENTAND MATERIALS; THIS IS CURRENTLY HOUSED IN THEIR GARAGE AND AS WELL ON TOP OF THE GARAGE ROOF, CONSISTING OF A NUMBER OF

LADDERS AND SCAFFOLD BOARDS ETC. IF THE GARAGE IS TO BE DEMOLISHED AS PROPOSED IN THE ABOVE PLANNING APPLICATION WHERE IS ALL THIS PARAPHERNALIA TO BE STORED?

AS REGARDS THE PROPOSAL OF EXTRA PARKING SPACES THIS WILL NOT SOLVE A LOT, THE RESIDENTS HAVE FOUR VEHICLES THEMSELVES CONSISTING OF THREE CARS AND A TRANSIT VAN AND MORE OFTEN THAN NOT A SKIP IS OFTEN SITUATED ON THE EXISTING DRIVE.

PERIODICALLY THE RESIDENTS OF NO.44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT HOLD MEETINGS FOR FELLOW FAITH MEMBERS. THIS WOULD CONSIST OF SOME SIX TO EIGHT CARS PARKED IN THE ROAD AND EVEN PARKING ON THE BEND. RESIDENTS AND VISITORS OF NOS. 67, 69 AND 71 HAVE GREAT DIFFICULTY IN REVERSING OUT OF THE DRIVES INTO THE ROAD LET ALONE TRYING TO SEE IF ANY OTHER VEHICLES ARE APPROACHING.

THE PROPOSED BIN STORE AND LOG STORE (THE HEAP OF LOGS HAVE ALREADY BEEN POSITIONED IN FRONT OF THE BUNGALOW) IS COMPLETELY OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE REST OF THE ESTATE. NO OTHER BUNGALOW HAS LOGS AND BINS STORED AT THE FRONT OF THE BUNGALOWS.

THE PROPOSAL OF HAVING TO LOCATE THESE STORES AT THE FRONT OF THE BUNGALOW MUST SUGGEST THE PLOT OF 44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT IS OVER DEVELOPED.

THE DEEDS FOR THIS ESTATE DO DEEM AN OPEN PLAN FRONTAGE IS REQUIRED NOT WOODEN OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL FENCING.

THE PROPOSAL REFERS TO THE "PLANE TREE" SITUATED AT THE FRONT OF 44 CHRISTCHURCH CRESCENT AND THAT THEY HAVE DIFFICULTY GETTING TO THEIR FRONT DOOR IS UTTER NONSENSE.

THEY CHOSE TO PUT THE LOCATION OF THEIR FRONT DOOR AT THE FRONT OF THE BUNGALOW WHEN BUILDING THE EXTENSION. THERE IS A PUBLIC FOOTPATH IN FRONT OF THEIR BOUNDARY WALL AND THE PLANE TREE. MANY DOG WALKERS AND FAMILIES USE THIS PUBLIC FOOTPATH WITHOUT ANY DIFFICULTY.

THE TREE HAS BEEN IN THIS POSITION LONG BEFORE THEY TOOK UP RESIDENCY AND BEFORE ANY OF OUR DWELLINGS WERE HERE.

THE TREE DOES REQUIRE PRUNING WHICH WAS CARRIED OUT BY THE PREVIOUS RESIDENTS THROUGH THE AUTHORITY SOME YEARS AGO BUT NOW NEEDS PRUNING AGAIN. PREVIOUS RESIDENTS AND SURROUNDING CURRENT RESIDENTS HAVE NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH THIS TREE.