

Recommendation Report for Works to Tree(s) on trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO)

REF NO: AW/332/17/T

LOCATION: 223 Manor Way
Aldwick Bay Estate
Aldwick
PO21 4HS

PROPOSAL: Crown Reduction of 1No. Horse Chestnut by 1.5m & Crown thin by 15% with no cuts over 100mm.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above
TREES	The tree is situated to the front of the property
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Dwelling
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Residential

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

AW/273/08/T	Surgery to 1 no. Horse Chestnut tree	Refused 11-02-09
AW/311/03/T	Crown reduction/thinning of one Horse Chestnut Tree	ApproveConditionally 11-02-04

Relevant site history noted

REPRESENTATIONS**REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:**

Parish Council provided no response
No representation from nearby occupiers
Conservation Area Advisory Committee provided no comment

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Comments noted

CONSULTATIONS

Arboriculturist
Conservation Officer

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

Tree Officer Comments

This a large ornamental tree of high amenity value being located roadside at the property frontage and highly visible to residents and visitors accessing the estate and from nearby properties- it is a significant arboricultural feature.

It appears to be in a fine physiological state (unusual for its type) and structurally sound. It has an attractive outer crown profile but is determined to be of moderate form generally, having undergone heavy crown reduction work in the past. This work has encouraged the development of, right angled limbs which may conceal pockets of decay, crossing branches and progressively heavy branches tending vertical from truncated main scaffold limbs.

Conclusions

The light extent of overall crown reduction and removal of branches as proposed (<100mm diameter), is appropriate to reduce crown congestion and reduce the risk of individual branch failure.

The work proposed is justified and if undertaken to a high standard will not incur a noteworthy loss of amenity value to the surrounding area.

The long term vitality of this tree and key ornamental attribute (flowering) are best respected when significant pruning work such as proposed is undertaken during with the mid summer (mid June to mid September) or mid winter periods.

Recommendations

I recommend consent for this application subject the following conditions:
conditions to be applied to all approved works-

-Where whole branches are to be removed and final cuts are made close to the trunk or branch union they are to be made as shown in Figure 2 of BS3998:2010

- Where branches are to be shortened back the final cuts are to be made at the correct angle shown in BS3998:2010 and adjacent to a live bud or lateral.

- Pruning work such as proposed may only be undertaken during either the mid summer (Mid June- mid September) or mid winter periods.

Reasons : In the interests of the trees continued health and vitality and to accord with current industry guidelines and sound arboricultural practice.

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Comments noted

POLICY CONTEXT

Tree Preservation Order

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 198 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:

"If it appears to a Local Planning authority that it is expedient in the interest of amenity to make provision for the preservation of trees.... they may for that purpose make an order"

The works to the trees are to be judged having regard to amenity

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are no other material considerations to warrant a decision otherwise than in accordance with the Development Plan and/or legislative background.

CONCLUSIONS

Following comments from the tree officer, it is recommended that consent be approved, subject to the following conditions and informatives.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT**FOR APPROVAL**

Human Rights Act:

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the

following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 Where whole branches are to be removed and final cuts are made close to the trunk or branch union they are to be made as shown in Figure 2 of BS3998:2010.

Where branches are to be shortened back the final cuts are to be made at the correct angle shown in BS3998:2010 and adjacent to a live bud or lateral.

Pruning work such as proposed may only be undertaken during either the mid summer (mid-June to mid September) or mid winter periods.

Reasons: In the interests of the trees continued health and vitality and to accord with current industry guidelines and sound arboricultural practice.

- 2 INFORMATIVE: The applicant should note that under Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, with only a few exceptions, it is an offence for any person to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild birds while the nest is in use or being built. Birds nest between March and September and therefore removal of dense bushes, ivy or trees or parts of trees etc. during this period could lead to an offence under the act.

- 3 INFORMATIVE: This notice does not give authority to destroy or damage a bat roost or disturb a bat. Bat species are protected under Section 39 of the 1994 Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (as amended), the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) and the 2000 Countryside and Rights of Way Act. It is illegal to damage or destroy any bat roost, whether occupied or not, or disturb or harm a bat. If you are aware that bats roost in a tree(s) for which work is planned, you should take further advice from Natural England (via the Bat Conservation Trust on 0845 1300228) or an ecological consultant before you start. If bats are discovered during the work, you must stop immediately and contact Natural England before continuing.