

Janice Chapman

From: Janice Chapman
Sent: 20 January 2015 11:51
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: AW/312/14/HH - Proposed extensions at 37 Kingswau, Aldwick

Dear Mr Hopper,

From a site inspection and comments received from the Conservation Officer Martyn White it appears that the submitted elevations and in particular the proposed front elevation do not clearly show the dwelling as existing. The roof level on the front elevation appears to differ and the bay window has a hipped roof and is not flat. The attached hipped roof workshop is not shown on the existing south elevation. In view of the number of objections and Parish Council objection this application will have to go to the next full Development Control Committee Meeting which is on the 25th February 2015. The plans need to be accurate as they are shown to the members.

Regards

Janice Chapman
Planner

Janice Chapman

From: Daniel Vick
Sent: 15 January 2015 09:36
To: Janice Chapman
Subject: FW: 37 Kingsway - trees and hard surfacing

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2015 18:47
To: Daniel Vick
Subject: 37 Kingsway - trees and hard surfacing

Dear Mr. Vick

Just a thought. The mention of the possible use of a permeable surface to enable trees/shrubs to secure their life's blood – water, nutrients and oxygen – is misdirected and confused.

The Officer is clearly thinking of driveways where, for example, if a highly treed verge – such as in Kingsway – was permitted to be bridged over tree roots for, say 2-3m then this matting process could well be used without harming the tree roots.

The Officer obviously does not appreciate that the proposal calls for reinforced concrete - 200-300mm - to be placed over what is the current driveway from the garage door and south for over 10m and that the eastern side, where the 1.7m fence currently is located, will require r/c footings to the poured up to 1m in depth but certainly to a depth of 600mm within which area of soil the feeder root are contained.

It follows, therefore, that 180 degrees of tree roots will be destroyed before the structural slab is laid.

It seems to me that the Officer does not realise that the driveway is to become a house extension and not open to the elements which is ONLY where the mattress system is used!

FURTHER, maybe he is failing to appreciate that this is not a matter for the applicant to determine or resolve for the trees are owned by a third party at 39 Kingsway and to whom the applicant has not even spoken, they both tell me – 37 and 39!

Kind regards,

Richard Ostler, Chairman, CHECAA