

Janice Chapman

From: Manoj Patel [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 January 2013 15:44
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: 'Manoj'; Sarah Burbridge
Subject: RE: Application for Discharge of Conditions PP-02300511 - AW/292/12/DOC
Attachments: Cond-3- updated 2 Jan 2013.doc

Dear Mrs Janice Chapman,

Hope you had a good Xmas and a Happy New Year to you.

Thank you for the recent update, I was still under the impression that No.36 had not had their fence approved, I have now been corrected.

I have since spoken with the owners of No.36 regarding the preservative that they are using and they have informed me that they have used just a clear preservative to protect the fencing.

Therefore I have updated the attached document to reflect that we will be using fencing that is similar in colour to that of No.36 and we will be using a clear preservative as indicated in the attachment to keep the fence in its natural colour.

I hope that this now satisfies Condition No.3 and that we can now obtain final approval to continue with the replacement of the fence.

If the fence colour indicated in the attached document is not acceptable, then the lightest colour wood preservative available commercially is "Autumn Gold" shown below, which we are more than happy to use.



Please do let me know as soon as possible which one would be preferable and if we have now met all the conditions to proceed.

Kind Regards

Manoj Patel

From: Janice Chapman [mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 December 2012 15:12

Janice Chapman

From: Manoj Patel [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 December 2012 01:30
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: Sarah Burbridge; 'Manoj'
Subject: RE: Application for Discharge of Conditions PP-02300511 - AW/292/12/DOC
Attachments: Cond-3- updated.pdf

Dear Mrs Janice Chapman,

Thank you for the update regarding Condition 4, regarding the planting and that it is now acceptable.

I am a bit confused about the fence colour issue you have raised below, when we originally requested to have a natural fencing panels with no additional colouring we were informed that we needed to match the fence of the property backing onto our garden, as this would be in keeping with the colour along the whole length on this side of Queensway.

Therefore as part of this application for discharge we provided a colour sample of Dark Oak Preservative matching that of our neighbour in the document "Cond-3" as part of this discharge application.

This was due to the fact that the fence at No.36 was in dispute and we were informed not to refer to it.

If the situation has now changed and it is preferable to have a natural fence colour similar to that of No.36, then I have no objection, as this was the natural colour of the fencing that we originally requested we wished to use and therefore there would be no additional colouring required.

I have therefore attached an updated Condition 3 document reflecting this update.

Please let me know if this is now sufficient to fully approve this application.

if not then please do let us know what we need to do in order to get this approved as the reason for this fence was to protect the privacy of our new to be born twins, however due to the length of time this has taken our twins have now arrived and we wish to stop uninvited views of our children over the existing low fencing.

Regards

Manoj Patel

From: Janice Chapman [<mailto:Janice.Chapman@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 20 December 2012 11:25
To: 'Manoj Patel'
Subject: RE: Application for Discharge of Conditions PP-02300511 - AW/292/12/DOC

Dear Mr Patel,

I have discussed the planting details as submitted with my team leader and these appear acceptable. However, a sample of the Dark Oak Preservative has not been submitted and I am of the opinion that this is too dark and would be of a harsh appearance. The neighbouring fence at No 36 which was recently approved is of a more natural finish and appearance. At this stage I would have to refuse the application but I am willing to hold the application open until we can reach an agreement.

Regards

Janice Chapman

From: Janice Chapman
Sent: 20 December 2012 11:25
To: 'Manoj Patel'
Subject: RE: Application for Discharge of Conditions PP-02300511 - AW/292/12/DOC

Dear Mr Patel,

I have discussed the planting details as submitted with my team leader and these appear acceptable. However, a sample of the Dark Oak Preservative has not been submitted and I am of the opinion that this is too dark and would be of a harsh appearance. The neighbouring fence at No 36 which was recently approved is of a more natural finish and appearance. At this stage I would have to refuse the application but I am willing to hold the application open until we can reach an agreement.

Regards

Janice Chapman
Planner

From: Manoj Patel [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 December 2012 13:50
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: 'Manoj'; Sarah Burbridge
Subject: Application for Discharge of Conditions PP-02300511 - AW/292/12/DOC

Dear Mrs Janice Chapman,

Ref: **AW/292/12/DOC**

As requested please find attached an updated drawing of the proposed fencing diagram with siting of the planting on the inside of the fence fronting Queensway.

We have added in the current location of the majority of Ivy that is already established on the inside of the fencing, and have indicated where we propose to plant further Passion flower and Virginia Creeper plants, as you know this will take some time to establish, however when we complete the erection of the fence we will endeavour to plant every 0.5-1m apart in order to make sure that when this is established that it helps soften the exterior of the fence.

Please let me know if this is sufficient or if you require any further clarification.

Regards

Manoj Patel