

Katherine Rawlins

From: Katherine Rawlins
Sent: 26 January 2012 13:26
To: 'Roy Fairchild'
Subject: RE: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway

Dear Mr Fairchild,

The application was approved on 8 December 2011. A copy of the decision notice can be viewed on the Council's website: www.arun.gov.uk.

However, your tree surgeon would have received a copy at the time and should have sent this to you. Condition 1 of the decision notice requires 7 days written notice of commencement.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins
Senior Planner
Arun District Council
www.arun.gov.uk

From: Roy Fairchild [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 January 2012 10:44
To: Katherine Rawlins
Subject: Re: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway

Dear Katherine

Further to your email of 29 November 2011 can I now accept that Planning Application AW/252/11/T has now been approved and we may proceed with the work in accordance with the advice you received the Council's Tree Officer.

Kind regards

Roy Fairchild

----- Original Message -----

From: Katherine Rawlins
To: roy [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 4:35 PM
Subject: RE: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway

Dear Mr Fairchild,

Further to my previous email, I have raised the matter of lopping the trees with the Council's Tree Officer.

He has advised that 'Lopping' removes the crown and is therefore not recommended.

Thinning and trimming is acceptable, but is dependant on the amount you wish to remove. If removing (as the trim) is less than a few metres (2m) and removing touching branches/weak growth/dead wood only as a Crown Thin, this would be acceptable.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Services, Arun District Council | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37314 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext.37314 | E-mail: katherine.rawlins@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Katherine Rawlins
Sent: 28 November 2011 10:13

To: [REDACTED]
 Subject: AW/252/11/1 5 Kingsway
 Importance: High

Dear Mr Fairchild,

As discussed, please find below comments from the Council's Tree Officer.

The applicant requests the topping of trees to the rear of his property. He has provided a location plan dividing the tree groups into s 1 to 5. The young Sycamore trees in location 3 and 4 have been purposefully grown close together to provide a screen and their management should reflect this function. They do not hold amenity value and I have no objection to their 'lopping'.

However trees within Location 1 and 2 would be adversely affected by 'lopping' which is regarded as poor practice and not recommended. Group 1 consist of closely grown and young trees in direct competition with each other, stimulating spindly upright growth for light. I would advise the complete removal of at least two suppressed trees within the group to alleviate competition for light, reduce shade to neighbouring property and allow for a better form and symmetry to develop. Lopping of Group 2 would stimulate dense re-growths and is not recommended.

Please do not hesitate to contact me, should you wish to discuss the comments further.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Services, Arun District Council | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37314 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext.37314 | E-mail: katherine.rawlins@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

100062237016

DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.

Katherine Rawlins

From: Katherine Rawlins
Sent: 29 November 2011 16:35
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway

Importance: High

Dear Mr Fairchild,

Further to my previous email, I have raised the matter of lopping the trees with the Council's Tree Officer.

He has advised that 'Lopping' removes the crown and is therefore not recommended.

Thinning and trimming is acceptable, but is dependant on the amount you wish to remove. If removing (as the trim) is less than a few metres (2m) and removing touching branches/weak growth/dead wood only as a Crown Thin, this would be acceptable.

Regards,

Spoke to applicant 6.12.11 to confirm wishes to trees in location 2.

Katherine Rawlins | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Services, Arun District Council | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
 Internal: 37314 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext.37314 | E-mail: katherine.rawlins@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Katherine Rawlins

Sent: 28 November 2011 10:13

To: john.fairchild@btinternet.com

Subject: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway

Importance: High

Dear Mr Fairchild,

As discussed, please find below comments from the Council's Tree Officer.

The applicant requests the topping of trees to the rear of his property. He has provided a location plan dividing the tree groups into s 1 to 5. The young Sycamore trees in location 3 and 4 have been purposefully grown close together to provide a screen and their management should reflects this function. They do not hold amenity value and I have no objection to their 'lopping'.

However trees within Location 1 and 2 would be adversely affected by 'lopping' which is regarded as poor practice and not recommended. Group 1 consist of closely grown and young trees in direct competition with each other, stimulating spindly upright growth for light. I would advise the complete removal of at least two suppressed trees within the group to alleviate competition for light, reduce shade to neighbouring property and allow for a better form and symmetry to develop. Lopping of Group 2 would stimulate dense re-growths and is not recommended.

Please do not hesitate to contact me, should you wish to discuss the comments further.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Services, Arun District Council | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
 Internal: 37314 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext.37314 | E-mail: katherine.rawlins@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.



Katherine Rawlins

From: Katherine Rawlins
Sent: 28 November 2011 10:13
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: AW/252/11/T 5 Kingsway
Importance: High

Dear Mr Fairchild,

As discussed, please find below comments from the Council's Tree Officer.

The applicant requests the topping of trees to the rear of his property. He has provided a location plan dividing the tree groups into s 1 to 5. The young Sycamore trees in location 3 and 4 have been purposefully grown close together to provide a screen and their management should reflect this function. They do not hold amenity value and I have no objection to their 'lopping'.

However trees within Location 1 and 2 would be adversely affected by 'lopping' which is regarded as poor practice and not recommended. Group 1 consist of closely grown and young trees in direct competition with each other, stimulating spindly upright growth for light. I would advise the complete removal of at least two suppressed trees within the group to alleviate competition for light, reduce shade to neighbouring property and allow for a better form and symmetry to develop. Lopping of Group 2 would stimulate dense re-growths and is not recommended.

Please do not hesitate to contact me, should you wish to discuss the comments further.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Services, Arun District Council | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37314 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext.37314 | E-mail: katherine.rawlins@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

