

From: Alison Terry [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 September 2019 20:23
To: Simon Davis
Subject: Planning application AW/237/19/PL - Revised delivery schedule - site of the previous Ship Inn

As a regular user of Aldwick Street and local resident I am writing to express my objection to the above application.

The applicant's plans to increase approved deliveries by over 50% (from 21 to 35 deliveries a week) is very different from the originally approved planning application. This was for a small volume of deliveries from a local supermarket - a very different type of operation than that now put forward. The size of the vehicles proposed is also far larger and the potential for vehicle stacking greatly increased.

The proposed delivery schedule will endanger local residents due to the frequent manoeuvring of large vehicles including articulated trucks. I further note that these articulated trucks will be coming from Southampton, with all the associated increased traffic volume and fuel miles. They will also likely be negotiating narrow roads through Lagness via Pagham Road with associated traffic congestion.

Aldwick Street is a narrow road and at the site there are numerous vehicular access points, parked cars, lay-bys and narrow pedestrian access. On the side of the proposed store, pedestrian access is too narrow for people to pass. On the other side of the road, the footpath is intersected by numerous businesses and driveways, including a commercial garage. The well established parade of shops opposite the site already attracts regular pedestrian and vehicular movements, increasing the risk of potential conflict due to the proposed turning movements of the large trucks proposed. I therefore believe that the planned frequent deliveries to the site will cause danger to local road users and nuisance to local residents. A similar operation at Tesco Express on Aldwick Road causes regular congestion, with large parked lorries commonly forcing one way operation on the road. This road is far wider than Aldwick Street with better pedestrian access, so the problems would be even worse at the proposed site.

For the reasons stated above, I strongly advise against the granting of this application and hope that you agree.

Kind regards
Alison Terry BSc (Hons) C Eng MICE MA