

Recommendation Report for Works to Tree(s) on trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO)

REF NO: AW/207/18/T

LOCATION: Trotyn Croft
Aldwick Fields
Aldwick
PO21 3TX

PROPOSAL: Crown lift to a maximum of 2.5m above ground level & reduce crown by up to 1.5m to 1 No. Blue Cedar.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above.
TREES	The tree outlined in this application is located on the land at Trotyn Croft, Aldwick Fields, Aldwick.
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Flat complex.
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Residential.

Noted.

REPRESENTATIONS**REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:**

Parish Council - No comment.

No representations received from nearby occupiers.

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Noted.

CONSULTATIONS

Arboriculturist

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

Tree Officer -

The tree is a semi-mature blue Atlas cedar which does not pre-date 1958 and so Bognor No2 (Area Order) would not apply here.

Our online mapping resource suggests there are three other Orders which may apply at this location. They are as follows:

TPO/AW/165/10, TPO/AW/77/10, TPO/AW/144/10.

I see no listing of the above tree type nor indication of protected tree at its location on any associated schedule or map, therefore conclude that our consent for the proposed work is not required.

Please could you confirm if that is the case and if so, determine whether the applicant can now be advised to proceed without further recourse to this Authority.

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Comments noted.

POLICY CONTEXT

Within Built Up Area
Tree Preservation Order

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 198 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:
"If it appears to a Local Planning authority that it is expedient in the interest of amenity to make provision for the preservation of trees.... they may for that purpose make an order"

The works to the trees are to be judged having regard to amenity.

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are no other material considerations to warrant a decision otherwise than in accordance with the Development Plan and/or legislative background.

CONCLUSIONS

Following comments from the Council's Tree Officer, it is noted that consent from the Local Planning Authority is not required, as the trees that form part of this proposal are not subject to a Tree Preservation Order.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human

Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

In assessing this proposal neutral impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE

- 1 **INFORMATIVE:**
The trees that form part of this proposal are not subject to a Tree Preservation Order, and therefore, the proposed works can go ahead without further recourse to the Local Planning Authority.
- 2 **INFORMATIVE:** The applicant should note that under Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, with only a few exceptions, it is an offence for any person to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild birds while the nest is in use or being built. Birds nest between March and September and therefore removal of dense bushes, ivy or trees or parts of trees etc. during this period could lead to an offence under the act.
- 3 **INFORMATIVE:** This notice does not give authority to destroy or damage a bat roost or disturb a bat. Bat species are protected under Section 39 of the 1994 Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (as amended), the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) and the 2000 Countryside and Rights of Way Act. It is illegal to damage or destroy any bat roost, whether occupied or not, or disturb or harm a bat. If you are aware that bats roost in a tree(s) for which work is planned, you should take further advice from Natural England (via the Bat Conservation Trust on 0845 1300228) or an ecological consultant before you start. If bats are discovered during the work, you must stop immediately and contact Natural England before continuing.