CHECAA

Craigweil House and its Environs Conservation Area Association

'Preserving our Regal, Historic and Architectural Heritage for Future Generations'

AW-201-14-HH – front and rear extension – OBJECTION 19-9-2014

BY EMAIL ONLY

Head of Planning Services
Arun District Council
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
BN17 5LF
19 September 2014

Dear Sir

FURTHER OBJECTION to application AW/210/14/HH – 53 Kingsway, within the Craigweil House Conservation Area and Area of Special Character

For avoidance of doubt and in the light of further objections submitted, we feel compelled to make further comment, irrelevant though most of it is!

Mr. T. Mould of 55 Kingsway make adverse comment about the existing *'...vision very close to a junction which is already inadequate on highway terms…'.* His wife apparently carries the title of 'Planning Director' and is a Director under the Companies Act of the Craigweil Private Estate Limited – an area about one third of the Conservation Area. He and they should by now understand that part of the freedom and joy of living on a private estate, such as this, is that the roads are not adopted and controlled by the Local Authority and that the Shareholders in 1930 were free to create a casual design concept to their design, unfettered by legislation. Today, the roads at Craigweil stand unaltered, as architect Joshua Auty and owner Sir Arthur Du Cros wished them to be laid out. Such adverse comment is seen as being of no planning significance whatever – and Mr. Mould did choose to buy the house on the corner he criticises, where new bungalows were built!

Mr. Mould goes on to say that any parking in the roadway by future occupants of No. 52 *'...would also contravene the covenants of the Craigweil Estate that state that there should be no car parking on the roads.'* CHECAA says that there are no covenants *'of the Craigweil Estate'* but that Covenants, if any apply, are contained in the Deeds of every individual property and do not apply to the roads now owned by the residents. We would be pleased to see 'no parking covenants', as described and ask Mr. Mould to produce these. Perhaps he could send a copy to all residents? **More important is the fact that no Covenant is of any relevance for consideration by the Case Officer.**

Further, if what Mr. Mould has to say on Deeds is correct we suggest that the Planning Director should have been enforcing these years ago when Kingsway was blocked by cars; vans, skips and builder's plant. **Having addressed that issue, we acknowledge that the issue is of no significance whatever in planning terms or to this application.**

Being mindful of the proposed eight bedrooms for the occupation of just one elderly couple caring for another elderly mentally ill relative (who has lived there in squalor for years) to be housed in an annex somewhere on the site the risk of multiple housing and the division of this property into tenements has become a concern to CHECAA.

Accordingly, we ask that the Case Officer and LPA give consideration to imposing a condition limiting occupation of the property to the applicant initially and preventing any significant increase in occupation or sub-division of the property at any time in the future.

Yours faithfully

Richard D. Ostler
Chairman

Established 20 January 2001

Chairman: Richard D. Ostler: 'Silvergates', 52 The Drive, Craigweil-on-Sea, Aldwick, PO21 4DT

Registered under the Data Protection Act 1998