

-----Original Message-----

From: Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>

Sent: 17 August 2020 07:40

To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: Application_Ref_No=AW/164/20/HH

Please upload as rep letter for AW/164/20/HH Thanks

Amber Willard
Planning Officer

T: ext:37445

E: amber.willard@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter here

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Blunden [REDACTED]

Sent: 15 August 2020 17:40

To: Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: Application_Ref_No=AW/164/20/HH

Please click on the link or cut and paste the link in a new browser window.

https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fwww1.arun.gov.uk%2fPublicViewer%2fIndex.aspx%3femailUrl%3d90BE8FF412C808986CAD110EF4C18EB40CC6DD36A09E7FED23E5884B2F17A52D&c=E,1,_UmAog055mgvoA3NIM17MHAN_mCR2R9LhM__DZPIjmKcGDf4UTyqKwe4sKicpe00W44YTU1M0EIclmpgGLXKmr6Mk5IFUPq3vXnyRThRB9Be9bmd_Wa8&typo=1

Dear Amber Willard,

We wish to submit an objection to the planning application above. These are retrospective plans, the first ones Ref: AW/272/19/HH were not adhered to by the builders and a totally different and unacceptable roof was constructed.

[REDACTED] This led to the submission of the new plans.

Our objection is as follows;

The roof and fascia are too high and not in keeping with the style and character of the existing properties either side.

The width of the roof is in excess of the measurements shown on the plan thus giving no room for maintenance to our property.

The depth of the fascia is in excess of the measurements shown on the plan.

There is an incline to the roof not shown on the plan.

The front soffet is not shown at all on the plan yet it extends 300mm beyond the building line.

There is no evidence on the plan of where the surface water from this vast area of roof will discharge and into what and where.

The new plans are not consistent with the already constructed building and do not represent accurately what has been built..

We were happy with the roof height and fascia on the original plans but definitely not with what has been built and are very concerned that new plans have been presented in part to avoid correcting the existing oversized roof construction.

Kind Regards, Roy and sue Blunden

Sent from my iPad