

Recommendation Report for Works to Tree(s) on trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO)

REF NO: AW/148/20/T

LOCATION: Springmere
95 The Fairway
Aldwick
PO21 4EW

PROPOSAL: Reduction in height of 2 No. Silver Birch trees to 8m (A1 and A2). Crown thin of 1 No. Pissard Plum tree (B1) by 20%.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above.
TREES	The trees are situated on the site of Springmere 95 The Fairway
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Residential.
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Dwelling.

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

AW/162/20/HH Replacement of existing conservatory.

Relevant Planning history noted.

REPRESENTATIONS

No objections from Parish Council.

No representation from nearby occupiers.

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Noted

CONSULTATIONS**CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:**

Outwardly and from a distance these trees appear healthy and stable; twin stemmed with relatively unmodified and attractive crown profiles.

· They grow at the front of the property and so can be seen in part by traffic accessing/exiting this part of the estate. As such, they have some public amenity value although are relatively discreet given the size

and density of more established trees in the area.

- The removal of dead branches is an exception and does not require permission.

Conclusions:

- Neither tree type is known for its tolerance of hard pruning, therefore it is important that the work is undertaken to a good standard and at the right time; to limit the adverse effect on their long-term vitality.
- There should be no significant loss of public amenity on completion of the proposed works.

Recommendations:

- I recommend CONSENT for this application, subject to the following conditions:

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Comments noted

POLICY CONTEXT

Built up Area Boundary
Tree Preservation Order

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 198 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:
"If it appears to a Local Planning authority that it is expedient in the interest of amenity to make provision for the preservation of trees.... they may for that purpose make an order"

The works to the trees are to be judged having regard to amenity

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are/are no other material considerations to be weighed in the balance with the Development Plan.

CONCLUSIONS

Following the comments from the Tree Officer, it is recommended consent be Approved subject to the following conditions and informatives

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 Where whole branches are to be removed and final cuts made close to the trunk or branch union they are to be made as shown in Figure 2 of BS3998:2010

Where branches are to be shortened back the final cuts are to be made at the correct angle shown in BS3998:2010 and adjacent to a live bud or lateral.

Reasons: In the interests of the trees continued health and vitality and to accord with current industry guidelines and sound arboricultural practice.
- 2 INFORMATIVE: The applicant should note that under Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, with only a few exceptions, it is an offence for any person to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild birds while the nest is in use or being built. Birds nest between March and September and therefore removal of dense bushes, ivy or trees or parts of trees etc. during this period could lead to an offence under the act.
- 3 INFORMATIVE: This notice does not give authority to destroy or damage a bat roost or disturb a bat. Bat species are protected under Section 39 of the 1994 Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (as amended), the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) and the 2000 Countryside and Rights of Way Act. It is illegal to damage or destroy any bat roost, whether occupied or not, or disturb or harm a bat. If you are aware that bats roost in a tree(s) for which work is planned, you should take further advice from Natural England (via the Bat Conservation Trust on 0845 1300228) or an ecological consultant before you start. If bats are

discovered during the work, you must stop immediately and contact Natural England before continuing.