

giles binyon
46 carlton avenue
bognor
po21 3lp

Your Ref: OWPC18905
Our Ref: AW/130/18/OUT 01-05-2018
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

18th June 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 - Article 5

Outline application with some matters reserved for the erection of 8 No. dwellings with new access between 34 & 36 Carlton Avenue.

Land to the rear of 34, 36, 38, 40 & 44 Carlton Avenue Aldwick

Thank you for your internet representation made on 17th June 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

I would like to object to this application on the following grounds;

During the twenty years I have lived in Carlton Avenue the road has gone from being a busy "cut through" with speeding traffic to, in the last six to eight years, an extremely busy "cut through" but where traffic is slowed and indeed held up due to the propensity of parked cars. This is all compounded, of course, due to the close proximity of Rose Green School. Under these circumstances it would clearly be unwise to add another road junction almost opposite Raleigh Road. The mixture of pedestrians and blind crossing points (due to numerous parked cars,) especially during the "School Run", is surely inviting an accident.

A visit to Carlton Avenue will show that despite relatively low housing density, there is an excessive amount of parking along the roadway itself. All of the houses have off-road parking for at least one car and yet at any time of day vehicles are slowed and delayed when travelling up and down this road due to parked cars. I notice that this development is only proposing off road parking for one vehicle each property (apart from one house). The excess vehicles this development will produce cannot be parked within the development site itself without clearly causing restrictions for other vehicles attempting to get into or out of the site. The residents will therefore be forced to park out into Carlton Avenue itself. And most likely, for convenience, congregate around the development entrance leading to, in my opinion, a dangerous build up of parked vehicles close to TWO road junctions. In June 2012 our house (no. 46 Carlton Avenue) lost electrical power due directly to surface water running under the suspended floor but over the concrete floor slab thus contacting an electrical junction box causing the power outage. After heavy rain Carlton Avenue gardens (and indeed Avisford Park) display a lot of standing water. The run-off from these extra buildings and the roads will surely increase the occurrence of what I have just described.

The new road to the houses will, I assume, have to be lit at night. Existing residents will have to put up with light shining into the rear of their properties and gardens which is surely an invasion of privacy and detrimental to their quality of life. On this vein the associated noise and loss of back garden privacy is another heavy blow this particular development will bring.

As I have already mentioned, Carlton Avenue is generally felt to be quite low density housing. Clearly from these initial plans this development is completely out of keeping with this.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of

any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning