

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
www.arun.gov.uk/planning

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: David Smith [REDACTED]

Sent: 14 February 2021 14:57

To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>; Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>; Joan Tooth <Joan.Tooth@arun.gov.uk>; Daniel Vick <Daniel.Vick@arun.gov.uk>; Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>; Nat Slade <Nat.Slade@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: Land West of Fontwell Avenue AL/9/21/DOC

We wish to make an objection to AL/9/21/DOC for Planning Permission
AL/122/17/PL

This Construction Management Plan is a Fabrication of the truth. It is not possible in its present form, for the following reasons:

1. The document says 'the work will begin at the start of February'. This is a complete contravention of conditions on Planning Application AL/122/17/PL because the Document posted on the web site, states that a decision will not be made until the end of March and the access to the site (AL/121/16/PL) is supposed to be finished before work can begin.

Work has already taken place and FOOTINGS are being dug NOW. Several trenches have already been dug and a toilet container and a shipping container are already in place as well as other vehicles as shown.



28th October 2020

2. The document states, 'The frontage of the site will be covered with broken hard core as a hard standing for plant etc.'

This has already been done nearly three years ago, as shown in the photos below. It is a condition (of Application AL/121/16/PL, the access to the site), that the access road is removed and a permanent base laid properly, BEFORE work is allowed to begin on this build.



18th June 2018



8th September 2018

3. 'Grab-lorries will be required to remove excess soil created from the reducing of levels etc.'

The soil has already been scrapped off as can be seen from the photos below. The owner has already constructed a bund to the back of the site with the scrapping off of the earth, which has already been done. The footings are being dug now, and there are no grab-lorries present, to take away the soil. It is being stored on site again.



18th February 2019



21 Sept 2020

3. 'The site is to be made secure with Heras fencing and the access gates shall be solid boarded gates with a security chain and substantial padlocks. The security shall be constantly monitored, managed and maintained.'

The said gate has been in place now for several weeks and is clearly not doing the job promised in the document, as can be seen from the photographs below. The van and trailer carrying the digger (going out on hire to another site) is able to pass unheeded between the gate and the site fencing as could any other vehicle, because the gate is not secured properly, to the fence on either side of it.



9th February 2021



9th February 2021

The Heras fencing along the front of the site has already been there for four years and the planning conditions require a maintenance plan for this fencing. There is also no information in this document to shown how the site to the north and south will be made secure. (Presently open to two other sites belonging to two separate individuals and often filled with other vehicles.)

This Construction Management Plan is clearly not accurate or up to date and from evidence on the web site, a decision has not yet been made about the suitability of the information contained within it.

The sections on Health, Safety and Welfare, seem to have been 'cut and pasted' from someone else's Plan and have not been in evidence these last few days when work has started in earnest.

We therefore urge the Planning Department to reject this Construction Management Plan and request amendments as indicated.

We would also like to know why the relevant conditions prohibiting the beginning of this build, have been wavered, (if they have), or what is going to be done about the contraventions of the Planning Permission and Conditions.

We see no reason, why the applicant should not obey the Planning Rules and Conditions, as everyone else is required to do.

David and Susan Smith.