

Mark Molica-Franco
14 Barnett Close
Eastergate
West Sussex
PO20 3UW

Your Ref: OWPC17363
Our Ref: AL/8/18/PL 16-01-2018
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

14th February 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Erection of 2 No. 2 bedroom dwellings with associated parking & landscaping.
Land between 8 & 10 Barnett Close Eastergate

Thank you for your internet representation made on 13th February 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Part 1 of 2 as you limit characters: I object to the planning. The application for the development first time (AL/129/16/OUT) the parking survey was flawed. This time the wrong application number and address has been mounted on a lamppost in Barnett Close and refers to application number AL/5/18/RES.

This is unprofessional and also hints towards a misdirection that residents will not look beyond the written notice. This makes us distrust the planning process. The Parking Demand and Capacity report completely exaggerates the capacity of the close and the use of the planned site. I objected to the original application number AL/129/16/OUT based on this report from 2016 - the applicant has only changed names on the report and submitted the same information. I strongly disagree only one car uses the area. There is commonly at the least five cars (I have photographic evidence from Sunday 11th February 2017 at approximately 9pm) that use the site for parking, and have historically done so. So creating four spaces will no doubt only serve the new dwellings therefore displacing five vehicles already on site. More young people are moving into the Close, therefore many households have two cars now. As family's grow, we also are finding children start driving and therefore it's not uncommon for three cars to be to one household. The report goes on to say there are 214 unrestricted parking spaces. I want to know where these are as the close is very full when everyone is home from work and this is everywhere, with little option for more parking areas or turning (we use the central areas for turning as you are unable to turn within the cul-de-sac otherwise). To say it's only being used at 12% occupancy is lunacy and I would urge a new report to be commissioned and carried out in conjunction with Barnett Close residents.

Parking is a major factor of discontentment between residents on this Close. The approval of such an application is going to add fuel to further discontentment and resentment from Close residents.

The building process will create huge disruption to the residents of Barnett Close. Where will supplies be stored? Where will plant vehicles be stored? How will deliveries be made? The close is tight on space for turning HGVs. Also, contractor Vehicles will take up resident parking spaces or will be parked on grassed areas which will further churn it up. We already have to deal with the contractor vehicles for maintenance of council owned properties and cars from a property opposite the Barnett close entrance and this has caused hazards. Not to mention the fact there are young children in residence, putting further safety issues into consideration. We also have parents of children attending Ormiston Academy who use the close to collect and drop off children. The traffic is an issue for residents but also for teenage children waiting on site for collection. We have had issues in the past with vehicles parked on the entrance to the close causing near misses with vehicles entering and leaving the close.

Increased traffic from construction and potentially four new cars will be hugely felt in the

area as Nyton Road (Barnett close connects to) is extremely busy especially around commuting hours and school hours....

Your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

Please be aware that if the application is to be determined by the Council's Development Control committee and your letter has been received at a late stage after the Agenda has been published you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the Council's website or telephone the Council for further information. Please be advised that any comments you make that are not included within the Committee Agenda will be reported to the Members of the Development Control committee. Please also be aware that only a small number of all planning applications received go the Development Control Committee. Most are determined under delegated powers by Officers in which case your comments will again be considered before the application is determined.

Please be aware that Planning Services operate an 'open file' policy and will publish details of your comments on the internet on the Arun District Council Planning section. Please ensure that you only provide information that you are happy will be made available in this way. If you supply information belonging to a third party, please ensure you have their permission to do so. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the 'open file' please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed although this means that its contents will then be disregarded.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning