

Nicola Moore

From: Nicola Moore
Sent: 19 August 2021 14:49
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Mildmay, Hook Lane, Aldingbourne, PO20 3TE - Proposed new 4 bed dwelling
Attachments: Planning validation requirements.pdf; al 89 21 pl.pdf

Dear Mr Potts

With reference to your email below and my letter dated 13th August, although you have provided the floor levels (ref KP2 and KP1A) the proposed sections are a validation requirement (copy attached), and I have confirmed with a Team Leader that these will be required in order for the application to be validated. As also requested in my letter dated 13th August, we do require the distance from the rear of the proposed dwelling to the rear boundary.

Yours faithfully

Nicky Moore

Nicky Moore
Technical Support Assistant, Planning



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk
Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to

<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 August 2021 13:57
To: Nicola Moore [REDACTED]
Subject: Mildmay, Hook Lane, Aldingbourne, PO20 3TE - Proposed new 4 bed dwelling

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Ms Moore

I find it extremely exasperating to learn from your letter dated 13th August 2021 that you advise that you are still unable to accept and validate the application reference AL/89/21/PL.

You have advised that you still require existing and proposed sections together with finished floor and site levels. Upon the plans which have been submitted, ref KP1A, the existing ground level and finished floor levels are marked while the elevational details clearly provides sufficient information over and above that which a cross section would provide. The plan denoted KP2, proposed replacement dwelling, again identifies ground levels and finished floor levels. The elevational details provided are more than adequately sufficient, there is no need for a cross section again.

I would be grateful to learn from you why you consider you need further details when these are set out on the plans that I draw to your attention and why there remains insufficient information to register the application. I consider that your request for such details to be totally unrealistic and I would ask you to accept that the details that are before you are more than sufficient to allow the application to be registered.

Yours faithfully

K C Potts