

Sandra Edwards

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 05 September 2017 08:35
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Planning Appl AL/83/17/PL - Demolition of "Mildmay" and erection of 2 - storey house

From: Michael Eastham
Sent: 05 September 2017 08:09
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning Appl AL/83/17/PL - Demolition of "Mildmay" and erection of 2 -storey house

Please upload the objection below for Planning Application AL/83/17/PL - Demolition of "Mildmay" and erection of 2 -storey house .

Thanks,

Michael

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 September 2017 21:44
To: Michael Eastham
Subject: Planning Appl AL/83/17/PL - Demolition of "Mildmay" and erection of 2 -storey house

Dear Mr Eastham,

I am a resident in [REDACTED] and I am writing to you to object to the above Planning Application, AL/83/17/PL.

The main reason for my objection to this Application is that the design proposal is completely against the made Aldingbourne Neighbourhood Development Plan in several aspects.

The design appearance of the proposed new dwelling is completely out of character with all of the existing houses in Hook Lane and directly goes against Aldingbourne NDP Policy H2 - Quality of Design, which states that :-

" Proposals for new housing or extension or alteration of existing housing must be of high quality and designed to reflect the local character. Plans must demonstrate how they meet the policies set out in this Plan and should be subject to a design brief which demonstrates how the character of the parish will be reinforced"

Also, Policy H6.1 is contravened, which states that :-

"Small residential developments on infill and residential sites will come forward during the life of this Plan. it is important to the residents that the integrity and character of the built environment is maintained."

Finally, this proposal goes against Policies H10 which state :-

"Proposals for new housing must ensure that the new homes are well connected to the surrounding area and visually integrated with their surroundings. "

I further believe that it is wrong to allow a 2-storey dwelling to be constructed on the site of an existing single storey building which is so over-bearing to the next door single storey property called "The Nook". It is to the south of "The Nook" and therefore will cast a strong shadow most of the day onto this property and take a huge amount of the light that is presently enjoyed by "The Nook" away permanently. The extreme overhanging box-like design only exacerbates this problem. A more conventional one and a half storey chalet type design would be far less intrusive and light blocking provided it was designed with care so as not to shield too much sunlight from the south.

I have no objection to the owner of "Mildmay" wishing to improve his property or build a new one provided that it is in keeping with the rest of the house designs in Hook Lane AND that it does not take away a significant amount of existing light from the neighbouring property in its shadow.

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted address]