





From: Alison Martin [redacted]
Sent: 31 July 2017 19:54
To: Richard Temple
Subject: AL/75/17/A Objection

Re: above application for:

2 No. flag poles & 1 No. 'V' sign board

Land opposite Woodgate and 2 Hook Lane

We wish to point out that the addresses given for the proposed signage are incorrect. The proposed location is not near Woodgate (approximately ¼ mile away) and is not opposite 2 Hook Lane. The affected properties opposite are Ryfe House, Northfields and The Hame.

As this application is therefore inaccurate and erroneous, it should be automatically rejected.

Other objections are as follows:

The proposed signage is completely out of keeping with a small rural lane. The sizes of the flag poles, flags and V sign are in keeping with those expected to be seen along a major A road, designed to be seen from a great distance.

As vehicles would be negotiating bends in the road from both directions, the signs would only be visible for a short distance away and at the proposed size would present a significant distraction and hazard.

An estate agent sized board would be more in keeping and serve the same objective.

The noise from the flags at the sizes proposed would be considerable and be detrimental to the privacy and quality of life for the affected residents night and day for the entire 2-3 years of the development.

It begs the question why the application for the proposed signs is not for the area directly opposite the site entrance, which would be what is normally seen on developments, or at the site entrance itself. This would be more appropriate, but should still be scaled down to be in keeping with a small rural lane.

Yours faithfully

Alison and David Martin
Northfields
Hook Lane
Aldingbourne
PO20 3TE

Sent from Mail for Windows 10