

**From:** Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 13 October 2020 07:42  
**To:** Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: Planning application AL/67/20/HH

Please upload as objection AL/67/20/HH

**Amber Willard**  
Planning Officer

**T:** ext:37445  
**E:** [amber.willard@arun.gov.uk](mailto:amber.willard@arun.gov.uk)

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



**From:** Ian Stott [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 12 October 2020 16:04  
**To:** Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Planning application AL/67/20/HH

Rowley,  
  
Fontwell Avenue,

Eastergate,

West Sussex

PO203RU

Dear Sir,

We wish to object to Planning Application AL/67/20/HH on the following grounds:-

1. We see it as a gross over-development, of what was a two bedroomed bungalow, forming a row of four such properties. Extending the roof line any further, would have a grossly detrimental effect on our south facing windows, both in light and enjoyment of view, which will of course impact on the value of our property.



These are the three rooms which will be affected by further raising of the roof. (Two of which are bedrooms.)



The views from our lounge and bedroom window NOW, before any increase in height.

2. The plans show no height dimensions, and the extension which is already there, is only two feet from the boundary. Extending the roof line will only make this situation worse. It will dominate us.



Present front view showing proximity to the boundary.



Elevated side view showing roof line which will be extended.

3. Allowing balconies of any type will encourage viewing of the gardens, of surrounding properties and any activities will be completely overlooked. This will impinge on the outdoor enjoyment of neighbours.



The proposed balcony will have an uninterrupted view of surrounding properties.



4. The proposed garage is first and foremost, in direct contravention of the covenant placed on what was formally farmland. Being a two-storey structure and on elevated land, it would dominate the landscape. The proposed structure could lead to business use, commercial use, or further conversion to domestic use. It would lead to further strain being placed on the private access road, enjoyed by the other three properties.

5. Also, I would question the internal layout of rooms, as to a clear exit route, in the event of a serious accident or fire.

We ask you to reject this application on the grounds that it is an over-development of the site and that it would have an unacceptable detrimental impact, in terms of reduced enjoyment and value, on the surrounding properties.

Yours Sincerely,  
Morag Langlands.  
Ian Stott.

---

[DS1]