

[REDACTED]

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 26 September 2018 09:02
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Land West of Fontwell Avenue AL/50/18/PL and AL/67/18/PL

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 25 September 2018 10:32
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Land West of Fontwell Avenue AL/50/18/PL and AL/67/18/PL

[REDACTED]

From: Daniel Vick
Sent: 25 September 2018 10:29
To: Simon Davis
Subject: FW: Land West of Fontwell Avenue.

From: Neil Crowther
Sent: 25 September 2018 10:25
To: Daniel Vick
Subject: FW: Land West of Fontwell Avenue.

From: Nigel Lynn
Sent: 25 September 2018 07:02
To: Neil Crowther
Cc: Karl Roberts; Gemma Stubbs
Subject: Fwd: Land West of Fontwell Avenue.

Note he did not get the follow up that was promised
Nigel

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Smith [REDACTED]
Date: 24 September 2018 at 16:09:12 BST
To: Nigel Lynn [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
"a [REDACTED]
Subject: Land West of Fontwell Avenue.

Please find below, a copy of my recent letters of objection to planning applications relating to this site.

I feel it is important that you are aware of what is happening.

I did come in quietly and confidentially, and spoke to the duty planner about these points on 14th September.

He was very polite, but new, and could not answer my questions, which I left with him. He said someone else would answer them. Of course, as has happened before, no-one has. I

have therefore put them in writing as letters of objection, on your web site, where anyone can now see them and maybe answer them.

Dear Sir,

We wish to make **further additional** comments in objection to planning application AL/67/18 PL which requests variance of Condition 6 imposed on planning application AL/122/17/PL. This is to allow the applicant to begin building his houses before the access and internal road are completed. We do so for the reasons listed below:-

1. ^{1.} This application has remained 'live' for over three months, far longer than usual, and circumstances have changed during this time.
2. ^{2.} Although the Planning Officer has indicated in his letter to the applicant that the application would be refused, he has not yet formally refused it and it remains on your web site as 'undecided'.
3. ^{3.} In the original application, the applicant completed Certificate A to say that he owned all the land contained within the application. **This is not true.** This point has already been identified in our previous letter of objection, under point 4 so you are well aware of this discrepancy.
4. ^{4.} The applicant should have completed Certificate B (under article 13 of the Town and Country Planning Act 2015) and served notice on the owner of the adjacent plot of land, who owns the access on to the A29, which is part of the planning permission applied for. **He did not do this.**
5. ^{5.} Article 13, says that if planning permission is granted without this document being completed and the required notice being given, then the permission when granted, **is null and void.**

I assume therefore, that there is NO permission given at all.

Please address this situation immediately.

The points raised in our previous letter of objection, still remain.

Yours Sincerely,

David and Susan Smith.

Dear Sir,

We wish to make **further additional** comments in objection to planning application AL/50/18/PL which requests variance of Condition 10 imposed on planning application AL/121/16/PL. This is to allow the applicant to live in the house before the access road is completed. We do so for the reasons listed below:-

1. This application has remained 'live' for over four months, far longer than usual, and circumstances have changed during this time.
2. Although the Planning Officer has indicated in his letter to the applicant that the application would be refused, he has not yet formally refused it and it remains on your web site as 'undecided'.
3. In the original application, the applicant indicated that he **did not require new access from the site on to the A29**. I have already questioned the validity of this, in previous letters of objection. **Examination of Land Registry documents showing ownership of the land, reveal that NO access to the A29 is shown at this point.**
4. We have lived in our house for thirty-three years and we know that the field has been in the same ownership for that time. The deeds to our own house (which include the land owned by the applicant) show NO access to the A29 at this point and **no applications** requesting access at this point are listed on your web site. Unless we are not looking in the correct place, we have to assume that **no formal permission has ever been given to access the A29 at this point. The original application on which all others are based, is therefore invalid.**

5. We have raised this matter in previous letters of objection and have not received an explanation. If such permission exists, **Please provide us with a copy of the relevant Planning Permission.**

The points raised in our previous letter of objection, still remain.

Yours Sincerely,

David and Susan Smith.