




LUSTRE


CONSULTING

SRC RECYCLING SITE, FONTWELL AVENUE,
EASTERGATE, WEST SUSSEX
AIR QUALITY MITIGATION STATEMENT





PROJECT RECORD		
PROJECT NAME	EASTERGATE - WEST SUSSEX	
CLIENT	SRC RECYCLING LTD	
REPORT DETAILS		
TYPE	AIR QUALITY MITIGATION ASSESSMENT	
REFERENCE	3765\GM\2MARCH\021\AQArv1.2	
ISSUE DATE	MARCH 2021	
AUTHOR	Grant Maly MSc BSc (Hons) Associate	
	Matt Dean BEng (Hons) CEng, CEnv C.WEM MCIWEM Director	
REVIEWER		
 2nd Floor North Fitted Rigging House The Historic Dockyard Chatham Kent, ME4 4TZ  		
RELIANCE AND LIMITATIONS		
This report has been prepared using published information and information provided by the Client which were made available at the time of writing only. No liability is extended to any information which has become available since this time. No third party liability or duty of care is extended. Third parties using information contained in this report do so at their own risk.		





1.0 INTRODUCTION

SRC Recycling Ltd (the Client) has appointed Lustre Consulting Limited to prepare an Air Quality Mitigation Assessment for the proposed residential development situated on the SRC Recycling Site along Fontwell Avenue in Eastergate, West Sussex. The mitigation assessment relates to the construction and operational phase of the proposed development and the scope has been agreed with the Senior Environmental Health Officer at Arun District Council.

The site, irregular in plan, is centered at National Grid Reference 494377, 105802, and occupies an approximate area of 3.28ha as shown in Figure 1. The site currently comprises a car salvage centre and area of open land and is located within a primarily residential land use area. It is understood that proposals involve the clearance of the site and construction of 80 residential dwellings and Co-working hub on the site of SRC recycling.



Figure 1: Site Location Plan





2.0 PLANNING POLICY & GUIDANCE

2.1 Construction Phase

The Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) has published guidance on the assessment of dust from construction and demolition¹. Based on this guidance, the main air quality impacts that may arise during construction activities are:

- Dust deposition, resulting in the soiling of surfaces;
- Visible dust plumes, which are evidence of dust emissions;
- Elevated PM₁₀ concentrations, as a result of dust generating activities on site; and
- An increase in concentrations of airborne particles and nitrogen dioxide due to exhaust emissions from diesel powered vehicles and equipment on site.

In relation to the most likely impacts, the guidance states the following:

“The most common impacts are dust soiling and increased ambient PM₁₀ concentrations due to dust arising from activities on the site. Dust soiling will arise from the deposition of particulate matter in all size fractions.

Experience of assessing the exhaust emissions from on-site plant (also known as non-road mobile machinery or NRMM) and site traffic suggests that they are unlikely to make a significant impact on local air quality, and in the vast majority of cases they will not need to be quantitatively assessed”.

The guidance continues by providing an assessment procedure. This includes sub-dividing construction activities into four types (demolition, earthworks, construction and track out) to reflect their different potential impacts.

2.2 Operational Phase

The mitigation statement has been undertaken in accordance with the methodology outlined within the “Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020)”. It states that the purpose of an emissions mitigation assessment is to:

1. calculate the additional transport emissions associated with a development;

¹ Holman et al (2014). IAQM Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction, Institute of Air Quality Management, London. www.iaqm.co.uk/text/guidance/construction-dust-2014.pdf





2. determine the appropriate level of mitigation required to help avoid, minimise and/or off-set the impact on air quality; and
3. enable an evidence-based and proportionate approach.

3.0 BACKGROUND DATA

Background NO_x, NO₂ and PM concentrations have been obtained from Defra². These 1 km x 1 km grid resolution maps are derived from a base year of 2018 (for NO_x, NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} only), which are then projected to a baseline year (2019), which corresponds with the latest monitoring data available from the Council. Background concentrations of NO_x, NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} derived from Defra are provided in Table 1.

Table 1 - Background NO_x, NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Concentrations (µg/m³)

Pollutant	Defra Mapped Location		2019
	X	Y	
NO ₂	494500	105500	9.6
NO _x			12.6
PM ₁₀			13.9
PM _{2.5}			9.3

Based on the data provided in Table 1, background concentrations in the vicinity of the proposed development are well below the relevant air quality objectives.

There is no monitoring data in the vicinity of the proposed development.

4.0 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

4.1 Methodology

Using the guidance published by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) the potential for dust emissions to be generated during the construction phase has been assessed for each activity that is likely to take place.

² <http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2018>





The conditions with no mitigation thus form the baseline or “do-nothing” situation for a construction site. The assessment procedure uses the steps provided in the guidance and summarised in Figure 1.




Figure 2: Dust Assessment Procedure

The risk of dust arising in sufficient quantities to cause annoyance and/or health and/or ecological impacts should be determined using four risk categories: negligible, low, medium and high risk. A development is allocated to a risk category based on two factors:

- the scale and nature of the works, which determines the potential dust emission magnitude as small, medium or large (see Table 2); and





- the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts, which is defined as low, medium or high sensitivity (see Tables 3 and 4).

These two factors are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied (see Table 5). The risk category assigned to the development can be different for each of the four potential activities (demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout).

Table 2 – Dust Emission Magnitude

Activity	Dust Emission Class		
	Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	Total building volume >50,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing and screening, demolition activities >20 m above ground level	Total building volume 20,000 – 50 000m ³ , potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities 10-20 m above ground level	Total building volume <20,000 m ³ , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities <10m above ground, demolition during wetter months
Earthworks	Total site area >10,000 m ² , potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8 m in height, total material moved >100,000 tonnes	Total site area 2,500 – 10,000 m ² , moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 m - 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonnes – 100,000 tonnes	Total site area <2,500 m ² , soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds <4 m in height, total material moved <10,000 tonnes, earthworks during wetter months
Construction	Total building volume >100,000 m ³ , piling, on site concrete batching; sandblasting	Total building volume 25,000 m ³ – 100,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), piling, on site concrete batching	Total building volume <25,000 m ³ , construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber)
Track out	>50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100 m	10 – 50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50m – 100 m;	<10 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50 m.

Table 3 –Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects					
Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low





Table 4 –Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Effects							
Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM ₁₀ Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
High	>32 µg/m ³	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m ³	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24-28 µg/m ³	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24 µg/m ³	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	-	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	-	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

Table 5 – Risk of Dust Impacts

Construction Activity	Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
		Large	Medium	Small
Demolition	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
	Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Earthworks	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Construction	High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Track out	High	High Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk
	Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
	Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

4.2 Construction Impact Assessment

The assessment of construction activities has focused on demolition, earthworks, construction and track out activities at the site. Using the criteria provided in Table 2 the dust emission magnitude for each activity is as follows:

- Demolition = Small;





- Earthworks = Large;
- Construction = Large; and
- Track out = Medium.

The sensitivity of the surrounding area to dust soiling and human health (Table 6) is then defined based on the criteria in Tables 3 and 4, which includes the number of medium and highly sensitive receptors that fall within a certain distance of the proposed construction phase (see Figure 2). There are no designated ecological sites within 50 m of the proposed development and no further consideration has been given to the sensitivity of the area to ecological effects.

Table 6 – Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area

Potential Impact	Comments	Sensitivity
Dust Soiling	There are between 1 and 10 highly sensitive receptors e.g. residential properties, within 20 metres of the proposed development.	Medium
Human Health	There are between 1 and 10 highly sensitive receptors e.g. residential properties, within 20 metres of the proposed development.	Low

The dust emission magnitudes and sensitivity of the surrounding area are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied. These are summarised in Table 7.

Table 7 – Summary of Dust Risk

Potential Impact	Risk			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	Low Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Human Health	Negligible	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk
Ecological	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

It should also be noted that the likelihood of an adverse impact occurring is correlated to wind speed and wind direction. As such, unfavourable wind speeds and wind directions must occur at the same time as a dust generating activity in order to generate an adverse impact. The overall impacts also assume that the dust generating activities are occurring over the entirety of the site meaning that as an activity moves further away from a potential receptor the magnitude and significance of the impact will be further reduced.






Figure 3: Distance from the Proposed Development





5.0 OPERATIONAL PHASE

5.1 Damage Cost Calculation

A damage cost calculation was undertaken for NO_x, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} to determine the level of mitigation to be implemented as part of the scheme. Using the methodology supplied within the “*Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020)*” the damage cost (without mitigation) was calculated using the following procedure:

1. Identifying the additional trip rates generated by the proposed development;
2. The emissions calculated for the pollutants of concern (NO_x, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) using the latest Emissions Factor Toolkit (EFT)³;
3. The air quality damage costs calculation for the specific pollutant emissions.

The damage cost (without mitigation) has been calculated over five years from 2019. This date has been chosen as it would represent the current baseline had a full air quality assessment been undertaken, including model verification against the Councils latest air quality monitoring data. The damage cost is an estimate of the costs to society due to impact of increases in emissions associated with the proposed development. It should be noted that this calculation assumes no improvement in vehicle emissions (as contained in the EFT) following completion of the proposed development and is therefore worst case.

Bright Plan Ltd have advised that the proposed development would generate 433 daily vehicle movements (0.7% HDVs). This input is summarised in Table 8 along with the subsequent calculations.

³ https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/EFT2020_v10.1.xlsb





Table 8 – Input Data and Calculations for Damage Costs

EFT Input Parameter	EFT Input
Road Type	Urban (not London)
Traffic flow	433
%HDV	0.7%
Speed (kph)	48
No of Hours	24
Link Length (km)	10
EFT Output (NO_x)	
Annual Emissions	488 kg/annum
IGCB Damage Costs (July 2020) for Road Transport	£9,066
1-year Damage Cost	£4,425
5-year Damage Cost	£22,126
EFT Output (PM₁₀)	
Annual Emissions	21 kg/annum
IGCB Damage Costs (July 2020) for Road Transport	£81,518
1-year Damage Cost	£1,682
5-year Damage Cost	£8,412
EFT Output (PM_{2.5})	
Annual Emissions	31 kg/annum
IGCB Damage Costs (July 2020) for Road Transport	£81,518
1-year Damage Cost	£2,500
5-year Damage Cost	£12,500

The total damage cost is £43,038 over five years from 2019. This is an estimate of the costs to society due to the impact of increases in emissions associated with the proposed development. As defined by the IAQM/EPUK guidance⁴ the damage cost relates to the value of mitigation that should be applied, preferably on-site.

It should be noted that the costs associated with Travel Plans and the provision of EV charging points recommended by existing parking standards are not offset against the damage cost.

⁴ Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality. Guidance from Environmental Protection UK and the Institute of Air Quality Management for the consideration of air quality within the land-use planning and development control processes. EPUK & IAQM. January 2017





6.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

6.1 Construction Phase

A qualitative assessment of dust levels associated with the proposed development has been carried out. Overall, the proposed development is considered to be a low to medium risk to local receptors. The impact of dust soiling and PM₁₀ can be reduced to negligible through appropriate mitigation measures, which are listed in Table 9 and are applicable to a low to medium risk site. Implementation of these Best Practice Measures will help reduce the impact of the construction activities to an acceptable level.

With these mitigation measures enforced, the likelihood of nuisance dust episodes occurring at those receptors adjacent to the development are considered low. Notwithstanding this, the developer should take into account the potential impact of air quality and dust on occupational exposure standards (in order to minimise worker exposure) and breaches of air quality objectives that may occur outside the site boundary. Continuous visual assessment of the site should be undertaken, and a complaints log maintained in order to determine the origin of a particular dust nuisance. Keeping an accurate and up to date complaints log will isolate particular site activities to a nuisance dust episode and help prevent it from reoccurring in the future.





Table 9 – Mitigation of Construction Activities

Construction Activity	Mitigation Measures
Communications	Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site
	Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for dust issues on the site boundary.
	Display the head or regional office contact information.
	Develop and implement a Dust Management Plan (DMP), which may include measures to control other emissions, approved by the Local Authority. The level of detail will depend on the risk and should include as a minimum the highly recommended measures in this document. The desirable measures should be included as appropriate for the site.
Site Management	Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken.
	Make a complaints log available to the local authority when asked.
	Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and air quality pollutant emissions, either on or off the site, and the action taken to resolve the situation is recorded in the log book.
Monitoring	Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with air quality and dust control procedures, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.
	Increase the frequency of site inspections by those accountable for dust and air quality pollutant emissions issues when activities with a high potential to produce dust and emissions and dust are being carried out, and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.
Preparing and maintaining the site	Plan site layout: machinery and dust causing activities should be located away from receptors.
	Erect solid screens or barriers around dust activities or the site boundary that are, at least, as high as any stockpiles on site.
	Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period
	Avoid site runoff of water or mud.
	Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods
	Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used on site. If they are being re-used on-site cover as described below.
	Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping
Operating vehicle/machinery	Ensure all non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) comply with standards.
	Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary – no idling vehicles.
	Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where possible.
	Produce a Construction Logistics Plan to manage the sustainable delivery of goods and materials
Operations	Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems.
	Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter mitigation (using recycled water where possible).
	Use enclosed chutes, conveyors and covered skips.
	Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate.
	Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages, and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using wet cleaning methods
Waste Management	Reuse and recycle waste to reduce dust from waste materials
	Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials.





6.2 Operational Phase

The “*Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020)*” makes the following recommendations for residential developments (measures 1 and 2 are required for all developments):

1. All gas-fired boilers to meet a minimum standard of <40mgNO_x/kWh. Consideration should be given to renewable sources of energy, e.g. air source heat pumps;
2. ‘Active’ charging points for electric vehicles should be provided at a minimum of 20% of all parking spaces with ducting provided at all remaining spaces where appropriate to provide ‘passive’ provision for these spaces to be upgraded in future;
3. Invest in EV charging infrastructure within the development over and above the current recommended standards;
4. Provide vouchers for alternatives to private car use;
5. Set up a car club within the development or contribute to the cost of a local car club;
6. Set up or join an existing car sharing scheme for residents;
7. Designate parking spaces for car club/car sharing vehicles;
8. Designate parking spaces for low emission vehicles;
9. Provide electric bikes;
10. Improve cycle paths to link to the existing local cycle network;
11. Provide secure cycle storage; and
12. Invest in additional evergreen infrastructure to reduce particulates and other pollutants.

The exact Operational Phase requirements will likely be conditioned on award of planning. It is currently proposed the development will provide EV charging to 100% on plot parking spaces/garage spaces and 20% of flatted spaces. Additionally, EV provision could be made for the co-working hub. All properties will also have secure cycle parking.





LUSTRE
CONSULTING

2nd Floor North
Fitted Rigging House
The Eastern Dockyard
Chatham, Kent, ME4 4TZ

