

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 06 September 2018 12:30
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Land adjacent to Westfield House Fontwell Avenue, Eastergate.

Additional objection to application aL/136/17/PL

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Sue Bowley
Sent: 06 September 2018 12:24
To: Gemma Stubbs
Cc: Gemma Stubbs; Simon Davis; Daniel Vick; Nat Slade; Carolyn Nysingh; Sue Bowley
Subject: Land adjacent to Westfield House Fontwell Avenue, Eastergate.

Thanks

I have forwarded the email to the Case Officer and Area Team Leader for the application so that it can be uploaded to the website.

Regards

Sue Bowley | PA to Director of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37533 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737533 | E-mail: Sue.Bowley@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 September 2018 10:06
To: Nat Slade
Cc: Nigel Lynn
Subject: Land adjacent to Westfield House Fontwell Avenue, Eastergate.

Dear Mr. Slade, Mr. Lynn,

I think you should be made aware of the information I presented to the Develop Control Committee yesterday, when I opposed Planning Application AL/136/17/PL. This is now public information and may be re quoted or printed. You may also find it useful to read my **actual letters of objection** to this and other applications relating to this site. - **Please do not respond to this email.**

David and Susan Smith.

Planning Committee Meeting

5th September 2018 - AL/136/17/PL

Your web site says that I should explain the points made in my letter of objection. I think I have made them clearly, but I will attempt to give further detail. They fall into two categories, why we are completely disgusted with the way these multiple planning applications have been handled and what we would like to see done about this planning application in particular.

This will be the 3rd time I have spoken to this committee. The first time, I actually expected the committee to consider what I said, but there was no discussion whatsoever, even though I had drawn attention to some data inaccuracies. The vote was taken immediately and permission given. As a result of this, I made a formal complaint to the council which ultimately went to the Ombudsman. His findings are on the web site and open to public scrutiny. Mr. Nat Slade said he would implement the recommendations, but little progress has been made.

The 2nd time I spoke to the committee, I had hardly begun, before I was rudely interrupted and yelled at by the chairman. Some of you might remember this. No apology has ever been received. The application was again passed, but with a very **short** discussion which added an extra condition - that the access road be completed before the houses were started.

Something we were very thankful for and hope you will do again.

Over the last 12 months we have experienced many problems relating to these planning permissions which we have reported to the council in the normal way. These issues have been either ignored or evaded and we feel that the Planning Department has been biased against us. I am happy to give individual examples of this, which culminated in a meeting between ourselves and senior members

of the department in early July. We also made a Freedom of Information request and a Subject Access Request, in order to obtain the answers we required. Neither were responded to in full by the Council and a report is now going to the Information Commissioner.

It is entirely natural, that because of our experience to date, we have no expectation that today will be any different. We believe that the Planning Department have been biased against us, the committee has again been miss informed on certain points within the Meeting Agenda, and that they will not take enough time to properly consider this complicated case which will change lives for ever. We think a site visit is a necessity.

All our points of objection are recorded on your web site **indefinitely** and can be examined by any member of the public **at any time in the future**. We are very happy that any information we have given, can be verified by CCTV, photographs and documentary evidence.

We would finish by urging the committee to reject this application.

As our experience has taught us that this will not happen, **we sincerely request:-**

1.A site visit to clarify the situation.

2.A legal document to agree the proposed **one way system**, signed by the three owners and clarifying who is responsible for the access and internal road being completed. (The Council will not be able to monitor and enforce a one way system and it requires extra planning conditions being added to Planning Permissions which have already been granted.)

3.An extra condition requiring the road to be completed before the houses are built, to at least bring this application in line with the previous one.

