

[REDACTED]

From: Jeanette Treagus [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 April 2022 14:09
To: Info <info@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: COMMITTEE MEETING ON PLANNING 28TH APRIL 2022 @ 14.00 HOURS

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jeanette Treagus" [REDACTED]
To: info@arun.gov.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 29 Apr, 22 At 14:06
Subject: COMMITTEE MEETING ON PLANNING 28TH APRIL 2022 @ 14.00 HOURS

Dear Planning Committee members,

I attended the planning committee meeting on 28th April at 14.00pm, as had made many objections to application AL/129/21OUT

and listen to other applications and decisions made by the committee members. One application other than the above stood out to me it was to extend a balcony on a apartment over looking the river Arun in Littlehampton. A very long time was spent discussing the for and against this application and it was refused on the grounds not fitting in with the rest of the apartments the local area and privacy concerns which brings me onto the application AL/129/21OUT for up to 95 dwellings.

An objection was read out by Hazel Johnson which gave detail reasons as to why this should be refused plus I have submitted many reason why this should be rejected along with other members of my family living at The Paddock.

When it was open to committee members 2 members gave reasons to refuse the application. 1 gave very robust reasons all extremely important. 1 member was clearly interested in how much money the development would bring to the council and 1 only concerned in facing a planning inspectorate if it was refused.

No comments by any other members , when it came to the vote it was agreed 6 to 2 to approve planning.

It was noted that along with me one committee member was shaking their head in disbelief with this decision.

Do the committee members read the objections provided on line there were many.

So a 1 metre balcony extension that would affect one person's privacy and not fitting in was refused. But up to 95 homes destroying prime Grade 2 farm land and open countryside which is full of wild life many protected species, floods every year and will now flood with raw sewage up to 200 extra cars on top of Wings Nursery and land next to Lees Yard already given planning permission and our privacy destroyed you give planning approval.

one committee member gave very clear reasons why this development was unsustainable and would be robustly defended if the planning inspectorate appealed this.

It's clear to me that the decision was made on the monies received from the developer and not having to defend what would have been the correct decision, and only one to refuse this application.

So flood doors have now been opened to cover our prime countryside and food producing farmland with concrete and bricks, with no thought from Arun district council for the consequences for this. Me and my family that live at The Paddock now have to come to terms knowing that we will be unable to sit in our garden, windows not opened and living with a building site surrounding us . We have friends that live next to Fontwell Development and their lives have been turned into a living hell. Unable to be outside, noise, fumes and dust perhaps some of the committee members should try living with this.

Mr P and Mrs J Treagus

The Paddock

Lidsey Road

Woodgate.

PO20 3SU