

Bronte Welham

From: Daniel Vick
Sent: 06 April 2018 11:59
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: AL/123/17

For scanning on named file and note request re redaction of content.

Dan

From: Sue Foott [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 April 2018 20:02
To: Daniel Vick
Subject: AL/123/17

Dear Mr Vick

Re Planning Application A1123/17

I write as a resident of Hook Lane who lives in the vicinity of the development which is the subject of the above planning application as I understand this application is due to come before the Planning Control Committee on 11th April 2018.

I note from the Officers report that only a few members of the Planning Control Committee took the opportunity to visit the site and have concluded they would recommend the application. I anticipate if such an application was made in the vicinity of their own property they may well take a different approach given the incongruous nature of the proposed development.

I am more concerned however about the inconsistent approach the Planning Officers have taken in respect of this application. In particular the Officer in August 2017 considered the proposed development was inconsistent with both the Arun policy Gen 7 and Aldingbourne Neighbourhood Plan policies H1 and EH8. This position has now changed despite the planning application only being the subject of a minor amendment.

Further the approach to this application is inconsistent with the approach adopted under application AL/118/17/HH which is in relation to a property in the vicinity of Mildmay.

The application was for a significantly less intrusive development than the one proposed at Mildmay. In relation to that application the Officer commented in refusing the same that the proposed extension created an obtrusive and dominant feature which is harmful to the character and appearance of the wider area. It failed to comply with policies dev 19 of 2003 Arun plan and H1 of the Aldingbourne Neighbourhood Plan.

Where it appears there is inconsistent application of both Arun policy and those in the Aldingbourne Neighbourhood Plan for there to be any faith in the Planning process and for the process to appear transparent the Committee should reject this application.

As an interested resident I need to have faith that the planning policy is being applied in a consistent fashion otherwise there is little point in having such policies. As the elected representatives for the Arun Community you have a responsibility to ensure that I can have faith that you do adopt a consistent approach to applications that come before you.

In this case I ask that you now exercise that responsibility and reject this application on the grounds of the inconsistent approach taken to the same by the Officers concerned.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and confirm that it will be placed before the Development Control Committee and that their attention will be specifically drawn to the same. Please also ensure that my address which is at the bottom of this letter and email address are redacted when this email is placed on the public file.

Yours sincerely

Sue Foott

