

FW: AL-118-25-DOC

From Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>

Date Thu 26/03/2026 08:59

To Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>

 3 attachments (8 MB)

drainage comment AL-118.pdf; C109-DS06 Drainage Statement.pdf; al-118-25 3.docx;



Amber Willard MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer, Planning Policy Department

Tel: 01903 737942

E: amber.willard@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder

-



Our priorities...

 Improving the wellbeing of Arun	 Delivering the right homes in the right places	 Supporting our environment to support us	 Fulfilling Arun's economic potential	 
---	--	--	--	--

From: Edward Ree 

Sent: 13 March 2026 07:25

To: Amber Willard <Amber.Willard@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Dan Lytto [REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: AL-118-25-DOC
Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Amber,

Please see the attached document which address the two remaining issues.

Please note that the request for swales was not raised during any of the earlier consultations. The guidance contained on your own website in respect of interceptor drainage does not appear to support this latest request, and in practical terms swales are often not suitable for very small sites where a permeable paving system can provide an effective SuDS solution. Nevertheless, in the interests of progressing matters and demonstrating our willingness to address the comments raised, we have amended the drainage design to incorporate a swale-based solution.

We continue to maintain that the calculations previously provided were clear and logically set out. However, to address the latest comments we have now reduced the proposed discharge rates to the lowest reasonably practicable level, which is now less than five times the calculated greenfield runoff rate for the hardstanding area only.

We trust that the above addresses the remaining points and that the matter can now be resolved without the need for further delay. Our preference is to conclude the discharge of condition at this stage and avoid the need for an appeal or further application. We believe this is within your discretion, and should be considered noting the errors and inconstancies with the earlier consultations.

Warm regards,

Edward Rees
