

-----Original Message-----

Sent: 09 May 2017 11:05

To: Planning

Subject: AL/111/16/out

FAO Claire Potts.

Following the submission of the reptile report by Liz Rogers why have the applicant and their agents not been charged with a serious and intentional breach of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1991 in that they intentionally and deliberately carried out an act of environmental and criminal damage in deliberately killing and or injured known reptiles on the site when they levelled the site prior or around the same time as the application was submitted. In greatly reducing the vegetation and habitat it now appears a full reptile survey is not now deemed to be appropriate therefore enhancing the prospect that the development could be accepted.

The proposed site remains a biodiversity corridor in the Aldingbourne Neighbourhood Local Plan and will recover if given time.

I reiterate, the applicant and their agents should be charged under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1991. Why have they not been so charged?

D M Leonard.

1, Orchard Gardens

Woodgate, Aldingbourne PO20 3QW.

7 May 2017