

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 12 December 2025 16:44
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: AL/107/25/PL Land west of Old Barn, Norton

PL-REP Please redact

Nicola Spencer
Technical Support Manager
Planning Services







T: 01903 737945
E: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to <https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>



Our priorities...

 Improving the wellbeing of Arun	 Delivering the right homes in the right places	 Supporting our environment to support us	 Fulfilling Arun's economic potential	 
--	---	---	---	--

From: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 12 December 2025 10:45
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: AL/107/25/PL Land west of Old Barn, Norton

Objection.

From: Elizabeth Lawrence [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 December 2025 10:31
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: AL/107/25/PL Land west of Old Barn, Norton

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Hi Simon

I note that WSCC feel that unloading is safe off Norton Road and that this is what will continue. I am shocked by this. It is unsafe and unsustainable. With two large factory buildings how many HGVs will Norton Lane have to accommodate at any one time. This is wrong

Highway access standards are designed to provide minimal safe standards. Future occupiers of both the existing and proposed Class E(g) units have the potential to generate the need for nearly 70 car parking spaces at any one time plus many HGV movements. This is in addition to the residential properties whose traffic is in the opposite direction during peak hours. From the consultation response I have seen this simply has not been taken into account. The submitted layout includes two HGV parking spaces. Why if they cannot enter the site. It is misleading and gives the impression that all is well when it is not.

To show the limitations of this access for both HGVs and all other users of the site and to correctly assess the potential use of the site a swept path for the entrance and access road is necessary. Why is are the applicant and ADC/WSCC so reluctant to provide one if they are so confident that their access is safe?

The last thing I want to do is to advise residents to instruct a highway consultant to produce a swept path and analysis of highway implications when the applicant should be doing this and WSCC should be requesting this information from the applicants. At Committee your members need to have the full facts in front of them.

Sorry to be so persistent but in the interests of highway safety and to protect the living conditions of local residents and the significance of various heritage assets the highway implications need to be fully addressed.

Kind regards

Liz

Elizabeth Lawrence Ltd.

PLEASE NOTE I WOULD LIKE THIS EMAIL TO BE PLACED ON THE PUBLIC APPLICATION FILE