

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

|                          |                                          |                                            |                           |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date: 18/02/14           |                                          | Surveyor: BERNIE HARVEYSON                 |                           |
| Tree details             |                                          | Tree/Group No: 1                           | Species: FLOWERING CHERRY |
| TPO Ref (if applicable): | Owner (if known): Ms CAROLINE ELDERFIELD | Location: rear of 6 BAKERS HILL RD ARUNDEL | Prunus avium              |

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

### Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 4) Fair                  | Suitable                |
| 3) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

Score & Notes

3

Due to previous pruning

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 3) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Score & Notes

2

A mature tree

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |                                                                     |                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes

2

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |                                                                                                |               |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees                           | Score & Notes |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion                              |               |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |               |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual                              |               |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |               |

Score & Notes

1

### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

TOTAL = 8 - DOES NOT QUALIFY

- |                               |               |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree   | Score & Notes |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree |               |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree   |               |
| 1) Precautionary only         |               |

Score & Notes

N/A

### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:

8

Decision:

DOES NOT MERIT TPO