

Damian Sullivan

From:  **Sent:** 30 September 2014 10:14
To: 'Phil Brown'
Subject: RE: 37 King Street, Arundel - AB/97/14/L and AB/96/14/HH

Dear Mr. Brown,

Thank you for your recent e-mail.

We are unfortunately not in a position to accept revised plans for any alterations to the rear extension due to the need to readvertise and a strict adherence to determining the application in time. You can, should you wish to, withdraw this application and submit drawings for Dr. Whiteman to informally consider before any resubmission. Alternatively, you can allow the planning application to run its course and await its determination but owing to Dr. Wightman's advice it does not seem favourable, but there is always the right of appeal if you do not agree with his comments.

Yours Sincerely,
Damian Sullivan

Planning Department
Arun District Council.

From: Phil Brown 
Sent: 26 September 2014
To: Damian Sullivan
Subject: 37 King Street, Arundel - AB/97/14/L and AB/96/14/HH

Mr Sullivan,

We have noted the various comments made by Dr Ian Wightman relating to these applications, and would comment as follows:

- The current staircase is of a modern design and is not of historic interest. There is clear evidence – through beams and downstands within the ground floor ceiling – that the position of the current staircase may not be original. We accept that historic layouts should be maintained wherever possible, but are not convinced that the staircase in the current position is of historic significance.
- Following pre-application discussions, we have already amended various aspects of the design of the proposed rear extension. The roof design would complement the appearance of the property, and would enable the extension to clearly read as new work, thus helping to define the evolution of the property. The new roofs and detailing would broadly mirror the existing roofs to the rear outshoot whilst also introducing a contemporary element of glazing within the roof, which would be fully complementary. An area of flat roof would join the new roofs to the existing – whilst ensuring adequate internal headroom – and the ridge height of the new roofs would be no higher than the existing roofs. Accordingly, we believe this approach to be a highly suitable design solution, and do not accept Ian Wightman's statement that the new roof would be 'overly complex'.
- We would be prepared to amend the design of the proposed dormer window if required, and please let us know if you would like us to submit some amended drawings for this element.

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any comments or questions.

Thank you,

Phil Brown.