

Recommendation Report for Prior Notification of Work to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area

REF NO: AB/67/18/TC

LOCATION: 9 Surrey Street
Arundel
BN18 9DT

PROPOSAL: Crown reduction of 3-4m to 1No. Eucalyptus tree within the Arundel Conservation Area

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above
TREES	The tree is located at the rear of the property.
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Dwelling
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Residential

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

None

REPRESENTATIONS

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Parish Council provided no response
No representation from nearby occupiers
Conservation Area Advisory Committee provided no response

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

N/A

CONSULTATIONS

Conservation Officer
Arboriculturist

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

Tree officer comments-

I am satisfied that the works proposed will not result in the character of the surrounding area being diminished.

I raise No Objection to the works that have been applied for and see no reason to make a Tree Preservation Order in this instance.

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Comments noted

POLICY CONTEXT

Tree Preservation Order

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 211(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:-

'Subject to the provision of this section and Section 212, any person who, in relation to a tree to which this section applies, does any act which might by virtue of Section 198(3)(a) be prohibited by a Tree Preservation Order shall be guilty of an offence.'

It is a defence to have provided notification to the Local Planning Authority 6 weeks in advance of any works. This is to enable the Local Planning Authority time to consider making a Tree Preservation Order.

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are no other material considerations to warrant a decision otherwise than in accordance with the Development Plan and/or legislative background.

CONCLUSIONS

Following comments from the tree officer, it is recommended that there be no objection to the works proposed.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of

the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

NO OBJECTION