

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 August 2020 14:29
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: AB/49/20/L

Neighbour consultation

Andrew Wood MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer, Planning Department

T: 01903 737768
E: andrew.wood@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF www.arun.gov.uk

[Sign up to our newsletter here](#)

-----Original Message-----

Sent: 07 August 2020 17:04
To: Juan Baeza <Juan.Baeza@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>; Martyn White <Martyn.White@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: AB/49/20/L

Dear Juan,

I have just spoken with Martyn White. I am concerned, new plans and designs have been submitted as part of this application but have not been posted within the consultation period, they were only passed to Martyn White for comment whereas for example he was unaware that the proposed door would be completely different to the existing doors within the communal areas of 57a.

I also note that his concerns about the undeclared entrance and the removal of historic fabric have not been alleviated. Further, I have pointed out clearly in my objection that a fundamental assumption of Philip Goacher's structural engineers report is incorrect, yet no clarification has been sought in that regard?

If an application was incomplete or factually incorrect at the time of submission, a planning department necessarily acting at arms length must reject the application and ask it to be resubmitted fully and correctly, not privately engage the applicant to amend aspects outside of the consultation period and time for objections.

Kind regards
Mr Simon Birch