

## Amber Willard

---

**From:** Richard Vickery  
**Sent:** 10 August 2021 15:19  
**To:** Amber Willard  
**Cc:** Don Ashman  
**Subject:** Re: ab/48/21/pl

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Amber,

Thank you for your email.

I have received and responded to numerous emails for Arun DC relating to this project, but if there are any specific items please let me know.

Originally we understood that the application process had been completed and passed on to be signed off.

We later understood that it was going to committee in July because the Town Council had objected, even though there was no objection to the original application. This application was of course a renewal, although unfortunately that wording was removed from the description of proposed works at validation.

The matters relating to drainage were not known until Daniel Vicks emailed me on the 27th July, as we had not found them on your website.

My client has subsequently investigated the foul drainage and can confirm that the foul drain shown crossing the site east of the existing house is a private drain taking branches from fittings at the rear of No 3.

Regarding surface water drainage my client feels rather frustrated that this has been raised as an issue at this late stage, as there were no problems with the original application in this regard. Furthermore I understand there was no such condition on the consents for the large extension(s) opposite or the new house 20m to the west.

I have spoken to my client and he has agreed to the extension of time requested.

Should you have any further questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Richard  
Richard Vickery