

Nicola Spencer

From: Adrian Burrows [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 June 2018 09:34
To: Nicola Spencer; Anita Gardner; Karl Roberts
Subject: AHA! Fitzalan RD Arundel.

Dear Anita,

I have an email from Nicola at the technical support team stating that there are instructions from Karl Roberts that there will be no further communications in relation to the Validation / non validation of the planning application. This is noted with regret.

the position is now as follows;

1. the application was incorrectly and prematurely validated at a time when it was in breach of the National Validation Requirements;
 2. I alerted ADC to the breach of NVR by email dated 26th April 2018 and listed down the documents that the defective application is missing;
 3. ADC accepted the documents where missing (and therefore accepted the application had been incorrectly and prematurely validated) and requested a selection (but not all) of the documents from the applicant;
 4. the applicants have subsequently submitted a series (but not all) of the documents in a piecemeal fashion over the last 5 weeks;
 5. the missing documents that are required to have a planning application validated are listed as points 3, 4 and 5c) in my email to Nicola Spencer dated 26th April 2018 and I subsequently explained the rationale behind this in my email dated 16th May 2018- **i believe the missing documents are absolutely vital to the officers/ stat reps and public understanding of the application for the reasons set out in my email dated 16th May 2018;**
 6. during this last 5 week period, some 30 members of the public have objected (without the full applications documents available) and one statutory consultee (the CAAC) has objected (without the full documents available). This all goes to evidence why prematurely validating the application is causing complete confusion with regard to this application. This confusion was compounded when the application "received date" and the "validation date" were stated on the ADC web site as the same day (which seems improbable) and the "determination date" was stated at least one month too early.
- I now have 4 questions arising from the above purported breaches of process :
- a) please can you explain why some of the "missing documents" identified in my aforementioned letter to ADC dated 26th April 2018 were requested by ADC but not all ?
 - b) please will you therefore confirm what documents you are still awaiting from the developers (if any)?
 - c) please can you confirm if you have any idea when the statutory consultees might be approached by ADC ;
 - d) please confirm when the period for objection might start and end etc?

I look forward to your responses at the earliest possible moment pls.

thanks,

Adrian.

Adrian Burrows

