

Charlotte Burrows

From: Peter Cleveland
Sent: 19 March 2012 09:33
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: AB/23/12 - renewal of unimplemented permission AB/71/09 - 22 bed extension to White Swan Hotel, Chichester Road, Arundel

Could the below email please be acknowledged as a consultation response.

Thanks
 Peter

Peter Cleveland MSc | Senior Planner, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
 Internal: 37631 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737631 | E-mail: Peter.Cleveland@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 March 2012 16:37
To: Peter Cleveland
Subject: AB/23/12 - renewal of unimplemented permission AB/71/09 - 22 bed extension to White Swan Hotel, Chichester Road, Arundel

Dear Peter,

Application AB/23/12 - 22 bed extension to the White Swan hotel Chichester Rd, Arundel (renewal of AB/71/09)

I commented on the previous application under the through Ian Gledhill as lead officer under the WSCC development team consultation system (then in operation) in August 2009.

I indicated in my previous comments that the White Swan may date to the 18th century - it is certainly on the 1840 Tithe Map for the historic parish of Tortington and described on the Apportionment as "Swan Inn Public House, garden, stable etc".

I suggested a condition for an archaeological watching brief on groundworks and soil stripping and recommended the 'negative' condition formula as set out in DoE Circular 11/95, Annex A, condition 55. As such, if the archaeological monitoring/ watching brief is undertaken once site preparation/ soil stripping is under way and the main contractor is on site there is a potential confusion/ conflict of interest with the standard phrase in the condition "No development shall commence....etc. etc". However, if the developer would prefer the alternative of getting an archaeologist in before the main contractor to excavate a trial trench/ trenches then the 'no development' proviso works. I would be happy to advise Arun District on the suitability of a written scheme of investigation (WSI) - in essence the brief for the archaeological work prepared on the applicant's behalf by their chosen archaeological contractor.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Taylor, Principal Archaeologist

--

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure e-mails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.