

13 Woodview
Arundel
West Sussex
BN18 9ED



Mr Neil Crowther
Development Control
Arun District Council
The Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Rd.
Littlehampton
West Sussex BN17 5LF

15th November 2017

Dear Neil Crowther,

Re Planning Application AB/125/17/PL
Rear of 9-11 Kirdford Road

I am attempting to register my comments on the above planning application referring to the development currently underway at the rear of 9-11 Kirdford Road, Arundel BN18 9EE. Yellow notices have recently been posted near this development inviting comments, before November 16th, at least that is what the notices originally said, but they have been altered to read November 21st. My wife has just spoken to someone in your department who confirmed that the deadline is indeed, still the 16th, he suggested that the notices must have been defaced and told us to report it.

We are owner/residents of 13 Woodview, Arundel which is almost immediately facing the construction, which has already been developed up to the second storey. The address of the new house is supposed to be in Howard Road but the front doorway appears to be in Woodview. The development which is taking place within what were the back gardens of the two houses mentioned above has already met with consistent opposition from ourselves and our neighbours. The proposed dwelling appears completely out of keeping with the other houses in the area, both in size and design. It will steal daylight from all the homes in close proximity and will also overlook them.

Both Woodview and Howard Road are narrow and already suffer severe parking problems making access and egress to both streets difficult, even now. This means that as the construction continues large vehicles are blocking the road to swing, heavy building materials onto the site, causing risk to present residents' homes and cars.

I believe the original application for development was rejected but it was submitted again and was apparently granted on 21st June 2016. A few months before the construction started, a copy of an official-looking document was pushed through our letter box, telling us that the permission granted on this date was based on the fact that the proposed development was "considered to have commenced on 8th August 2010 through the excavation of the foundations."

As anyone in the area can attest, all that happened at that time was that a couple of men entered the gardens and cleared the vegetation. The delay in beginning the actual construction meant that an equal amount of vegetation had to be cleared again earlier in the summer of this year. It was only then that the genuine "excavation" work for laying the foundations could begin and we and many others witnessed this.

The piece of paper that was pushed through our front door contained two reference numbers AB/69/16/CLP and AB/101/07. I tried several times to input them onto your website and was informed that neither referred to a planning application. The document pushed through our front door bears no evidence of where it came from—with no strapline bearing the name of your department—nor has it been dated. In the bottom right hand corner of each page are the letters cleoffrep.

I urge you to consider these points before any further progress is made towards completing this development.

Yours sincerely

Tom and Lynn Kyte

