

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 09 December 2020 10:09
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: APPLICATION: AB/118/20/PL 70a Tarrant St

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 December 2020 07:13
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: APPLICATION: AB/118/20/PL 70a Tarrant St

Neighbour objection

Andrew Wood MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer, Planning Department

T: 01903 737768
E: andrew.wood@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Colin Adams [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 December 2020 12:06
To: Andrew Wood <Andrew.Wood@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: APPLICATION: AB/118/20/PL 70a Tarrant St

Just sending this again to you in case of non - reception
sincerely
Colin Adams

On 1 Dec 2020, at 14:55, Colin Adams [REDACTED] wrote:

From: Mr C R Adams cbe afc,
49a Maltravers Street (and on a substantial part of Kings Arms Hill), ARUNDEL, BN18 9BQ



Andrew Wood Esq,
Case Officer
Arun Planning Department

Dear Mr Wood,

PLANNING APPLICATION- AB/118/20/PL - 70a Tarrant Street, Arundel

I object formally to this application on four grounds:

1. I have lived in the town as a child and young adult and returned to the town some 25 years ago. In consequence for many years, I have witnessed the gradual bastardisation of the old traditional housing in Tarrant Street. This proposed piece of "modernisation", using a words in the application statement, exacerbates this trend. The open - mouthed type garage under a fairly traditionally designed house is totally out of keeping with the surrounding properties, as are the proposed, somewhat quaint "Juliet- style" balconies. Such a design should not, in my view, be given planning endorsement.
2. Arundel is desperate for more affordable housing as evidenced by the furore and overwhelmingly negative response to a potential large development of retirement homes on the south- east side of the river. This proposed Tarrant Street development has takes no such social responsibility into account;the needs for such affordable housing should be paramount and should preclude even one more potential retirement flat(which they are quite likely to become) being built until we have sufficient affordable housing in the Town.
3. These two flats will on average potentially result in two if not three extra cars in an area already totally swamped with vehicles. However, were the house to be built, one must accept that if the two potential occupiers were to own as of right a half share in the garage below, impractical as that might appear,the extra parking problem would not be so acute.
4. Many months of very substantial disruption during building work - in what is one of the narrowest sector of Tarrant Street - would affect all those who live in or the many in transit by car etc from the town centre.This is not acceptable and must be a major determinant whether this application be allowed to go ahead and disrupt the activities of many local residents for the financial benefit of a property development company.

Yours faithfully
Colin Adams